

One dimensional groups definable in the p -adic numbers and groups definable in Presburger arithmetic

Juan Pablo Acosta

For the first main result I set up some notation

$$U_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \mid v(x-1) \geq \alpha\}.$$

Given $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ such that $v(a) > \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$O(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \mid \text{there exists } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, |v(x)| \leq nv(a)\}$$

$$o(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \mid \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, n|v(x)| < v(a)\}.$$

If $d \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \setminus (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ with $v(d) \geq 0$ set

$$F(d) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & dy \\ y & x \end{bmatrix} \mid x^2 - dy^2 = 1 \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$F_\alpha(d) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & dy \\ y & x \end{bmatrix} \mid x^2 - dy^2, v(x-1) \geq \alpha, v(y) \geq \alpha \right\}$$

Given an elliptic curve E with minimal Weierstrass equation $f(x, y)$

Set $E_{1,\alpha}$ to be $\{(x, y) \in E \mid v(x) < 0, v(xy^{-1}) \geq \alpha\}$ together with the point at infinity.

$U_\alpha, F_\alpha(d)$ and $E_{1,\alpha}$ form fundamental systems of neighborhoods around the unit which are a filtration by subgroups.

The main result is the following

Theorem

If G is a one dimensional group definable in the p -adic numbers then there exists $K \leq H \leq G$ such that H is definable and of finite index in G , H is abelian, K is a finite subgroup and H/K is definably isomorphic to one of the following groups

- 1 $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$
- 2 $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +)$
- 3 $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times, \cdot)$
- 4 U_α
- 5 $O(a)^n / \langle a^n \rangle$
- 6 $F_\alpha(d)$
- 7 $E_{1,\alpha}$
- 8 $O_E(a)^n / \langle a^n \rangle$, E a nonstandard Tate elliptic curve

The result relies on the following

Theorem

(S. Montenegro, A. Onshuus, P. Simon)

Let G be a group definable in a NTP_2 theory which extends the theory of fields and which is algebraically bounded. If G is definably amenable then G has a type-definable subgroup of bounded index T and there is an algebraic group H and a type-definable group morphism $T \rightarrow H(K)$ with finite kernel.

Maybe the most restrictive hypothesis is definable amenability which in this case holds from

Theorem

(A. Pillay, N. Yao)

If G is a one dimensional group definable in Q_p then G is abelian-by-finite.

Connected one dimensional algebraic groups are classified in a result from algebraic geometry.

Over a field of characteristic 0 they are the additive group, the multiplicative group, the twisted multiplicative group, and the elliptic curves.

So we look at each of these cases and calculate their type-definable subgroups.

The additive case

The type-definable subgroups of $(Q_p, +)$ are bounded intersections of balls around the origin. The proof goes as follows:

A definable subgroup G has, as a definable set, a cell decomposition $G = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_n$.

The cells are translates of annuli around the origin intersected with cosets of $(Q_p^\times)^n$.

But as G is a group then also $G = \langle X_1 \rangle + \cdots + \langle X_n \rangle$.

It becomes a matter of calculating the group generated by a cell.

If we have a type-definable injective group map $T \rightarrow H$ where T is an intersection of balls, this extends by compactness to a definable group injection $(Z_p, +) \cong B \rightarrow H$.

The multiplicative case

The proof of the determination of the type-definable subgroups of Q_p^\times follows the same idea as the additive case.

The multiplicative group has a feature the additive group does not have, the type-definable subgroup $o(a)$ is not a bounded intersection of definable subgroups.

We have that $O(a)/o(a) \cong \mathbb{R}$ (valuation followed by standard part).

Now use the observation that \mathbb{R} has a local-to-global extension property: For every local group morphism from a local subgroup of \mathbb{R} , its germ extends in a unique way to a global group morphism. In the same way given $o(a) \rightarrow G$ we get a group morphism $O(a) \rightarrow G$. And then its kernel generated by one element.

The twisted multiplicative group I

Given a degree two extension $Q_p(\sqrt{d})/Q_p$ we have a norm map $N : Q_p(\sqrt{d})^\times \rightarrow Q_p^\times$. Given explicitly $N(a + b\sqrt{d}) = a^2 - db^2$. The Q_p -points of the twisted multiplicative groups are the subgroups of $Q_p(\sqrt{d})^\times$ of norm 1.

$F(d)$ acts by multiplication Q_p -linearly on $Q_p(\sqrt{d})$ so we get a group injection $F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(Q_p)$ once we fix a basis, say $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$. This is where the matrix group seen before comes in

$$F(d) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & dy \\ y & x \end{bmatrix} \mid x^2 - dy^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The twisted multiplicative group II

The twisted multiplicative group is definable over \mathbb{Q}_p and its \mathbb{Q}_p -points form a compact p -adic Lie group.

The exponential function is an isomorphism of an open, finite index subgroup onto $(Z_p, +)$.

This is a function definable in the analytic expansion of the valued field language, which is also well behaved. In particular analytic definable subsets of one-dimensional algebraically definable sets are algebraically definable. (p -minimality).

So up to finite index type-definable subgroups of $F(d)$ are bounded intersections of $F_\alpha(d)$

The K -points of an elliptic curve are of the form $\{(x, y) \mid y^2 = x^3 + ax + b\}$ together with a point at infinity. This is a projective algebraic curve. It is also an algebraic group.

Elliptic curves II

In Q_p , the elliptic group E either has a finite index subgroup where the p -adic exponentiation gives an isomorphism with $(Z_p, +)$ or it is a Tate curve.

A Tate curve has a uniformization map $O(a)/\langle a \rangle \cong E$ definable in the analytic language.

Using translations we can obtain a definable copy of $O(a)$, O_E . As in the observation that an Abelian topological, local lie group is a Lie group.

More precisely O_E is an \forall -definable group with an analytically definable group isomorphism $O_E \cong O(a)$, such that the composition $O_E \rightarrow O(a) \rightarrow E$ is definable.

The second main theorem concerns groups definable in Presburger arithmetic. Presburger arithmetic is the theory of $(\mathbb{Z}, +, <)$. We need some terminology

- For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, $O(a) = O(a_1) \times \dots \times O(a_r)$ and $o(a) = o(a_1) \times \dots \times o(a_r)$.
- A lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}^r, +)$ which is discrete in the subspace topology.
- Equivalently it is generated as a group by linearly independent elements.
- The lattice is full if the linear span is the whole \mathbb{R}^r .
- In other words it is generated by a basis.
- A local lattice $\Lambda \subset O(a)$ is a subgroup such that $\Lambda \cap o(a) = 0$ and $st(\Lambda)$ is a full lattice.
- In other words $\Lambda = \sum_i \mathbb{Z}b_i$ for $\{st(b_i)\}_i$ a basis.

Bounded Groups

A set $X \subset Z^r$ is bounded if $X \subset [-a, a]^r$ for a $a \in Z$.

This is a definable invariant.

If $\Lambda \subset O(a)$ is a local lattice then $O(a)/\Lambda$ is a bounded definable group.

Theorem

(A. Onshuus, M. Vicaria)

If G is a group definable in $(Z, +, <)$, then G is abelian-by-finite

Theorem

(A. Onshuus, M. Vicaria)

If A is a bounded definable group in $(Z, +, <)$, then A has a finite index group isomorphic as a definable group to $O(a)/\Lambda$.

Theorem

If A is an abelian group definable in $(\mathbb{Z}, +, <)$ then there exists a subgroup $B \subset A$ isomorphic as a definable group to \mathbb{Z}^r such that A/B is bounded.

Proof, associativity

We start with the particular case $A = (Z, \oplus)$, such that \oplus is afin.

$$a \oplus b = na + mb + d$$

Then from associativity we get So $n^2 = n$ and $m^2 = m$.

\oplus is injective on each factor so $n, m \neq 0$ and so $n = m = 1$.

Where does d come from?

$Z \rightarrow Z$ the function $x \mapsto x + d$ is a bijection. The sum \oplus is the one that makes $(Z, \oplus) \rightarrow (Z, +)$ a group isomorphism.

Proof, groupification

Now for a more general case $(Z_{\geq 0}, \oplus)$, \oplus is cell-wise affine. We assume the cells do not have divisibility conditions.

On the triple (x, y, z) such that $c \ll x \ll y \ll z$ we may apply the associativity calculation of the previous frame to obtain

$$x \oplus y = x + y.$$

The subsemigroup $\{x \mid c \ll x\} \subset (Z, +)$ recovers the entire group $(Z, +)$. $(Z, +)$ is the groupification.

Similarly the function $f : Z \rightarrow A$, $f(x) = (x + y) \ominus y$ for $x \ll y$ is a group morphism.

What happens if the sum $(Z_{\geq 0}, \oplus)$ has cells with divisibility conditions?

The divisibility type of a point $x \in Z$ is an element of

$\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ which is a compact Hausdorff space.

If $c \ll x \ll y$ then $\widehat{x \oplus y} = \hat{x} \cdot \hat{y}$ forms a binary operation $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is a semigroup operation. Definability of \oplus implies \cdot is continuous.

The Ellis-Nakamura lemma gives an idempotent p . For x such that $\hat{x} = p$ the calculation in the previous frame works.

What happens for more general underlying sets?

If $X = Z^r$ then we have to replace $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ by a set of “types at infinity”.

If X is arbitrary definable then using cell decomposition we can see that after definable bijection $Z_{\geq 0}^r \times \{0\} \subset X \subset Z_{\geq 0}^r \times [0, a)^s$.

Proof, bounded factor

I will assume (A, \oplus) has underlying set $Z_{\geq 0} \times [0, a)$. Also that the cells appearing in \oplus have no divisibility condition.

Then for $c \ll x \ll y$, $(x, b) \oplus (y, c) = (z, b \cdot c)$. Where \cdot is a semigroup operation on $[0, a)$. Definability of \oplus implies that \cdot is definable.

Having an idempotent is first order expressible so \cdot has an idempotent. For this idempotent the previous calculation works.

The general case is a combination of the divisibility case and the bounded factor case. It just requires a version of the Ellis-Nakamura Lemma that includes bounded definable semigroups and profinite topological semigroups. The relevant technical concept for the hypothesis is that of a pro-definable sets.

A group cohomology calculation

To finish the description of the definable groups we have to calculate group extensions. To do this we use a calculation of group cohomology.

If $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of abelian groups and $s : C \rightarrow A$ is a set-theoretic section of $A \rightarrow C$, then $A \cong B \times C$ as a set and from $s(a + b) = s(a) + s(b) + g(a, b)$ we get a function $g : C^2 \rightarrow B$ which determines the group structure of A .

Calculating $s(a + b + c)$ in two ways one obtains the constraint on g , $g(a + b, c) + g(a, b) = g(a, b + c) + g(b, c)$. (the cocycle condition).

If you change the section to $s'(a) = s(a) + f(a)$, $f : C \rightarrow B$, then g' and g are cohomologous (via f).

So group extensions A up to isomorphism are (in bijection to) 2-cocycles/2-coboundaries, the second group cohomology.

We have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z^r \rightarrow A \rightarrow C(a, b) \rightarrow 0$.

With $C(a, b) = O(a) / \sum_i \mathbb{Z}b_i$.

On $o(a) \subset O(a)$ take the elements “infinite under a ” and divisible, these form a complete invariant type p .

On the set $p^{\otimes 3}$ we may apply the cocycle condition to see the 2-cocycle is trivial.

The formal differences technique, and the local-to-global principle of \mathbb{R} give a group map $O(a) \rightarrow G$. This determines the isomorphism type of G .

Theorem

If G is a group definable in $(\mathbb{Z}, +, <)$ then G has a finite index definable group isomorphic as a definable group to

$$\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus O(a) / \sum_i \mathbb{Z}(b_i, c_i)$$

Where $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\sum_i \mathbb{Z}c_i \subset O(a)$ is a local lattice.