

Paul Larson, Ralf Schindler, Linzhen Wu

NS_{ω_1} is not Π_1 definable.

Let \mathbb{C}_{ω_1} denote the forcing to add a Cohen subset of ω_1 using countable approximations.

Lemma 1 (folklore) Let g be \mathbb{C}_{ω_1} -generic over V , and write $D = Vg \subset \omega_1$. If $S \in P(\omega_1) \cap V$ is stationary in V , then both $S \setminus D$, $S \cap D$ are stationary in $V[g]$.

Proof: Let $p \in \mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$ be any condition, and let $\tau \in V^{\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}}$ be s.t. $p \Vdash \tau \subset \check{\omega}_1$ is club. Pick $X \prec V_\theta$, θ suff. large, $\bar{X} = \aleph_0$, $p, \tau \in X$, $X \cap \omega_1 = \alpha \in S$. It is easy to construct $q \leq p$, $\text{lh}(q) = \alpha$, s.t. for every dense $D \subset \mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, $D \in X$, there is some $\xi < \alpha$ with $q \restriction \xi \in D \cap X$. In particular, $q \Vdash \dot{\alpha} \in \tau \cap \dot{S}$. We may then extend q to q^* s.t. $q^* \Vdash \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{D}$ or $q^* \Vdash \dot{\alpha} \notin \dot{D}$, as we please. (Here, \dot{D} is the name for D). T

In other words, if g is \mathbb{Q}_{ω_1} -generic over V , then

$$V[g] \models " \exists D \ \exists (S_i : i < \lambda) \ [$$

$$\forall S \in H_{\omega_2}^V (H_{\omega_2}^V \models S \subset \omega_1 \text{ is stationary} \rightarrow$$

$$\exists i < \lambda \ S_i = S \setminus D) \wedge$$

$$D \notin NS_{\omega_1} \wedge$$

$$\forall i < \lambda \ S_i \notin NS_{\omega_1}] .$$

This statement is Σ_1 in the parameter $H_{\omega_2}^V$ and in the language $\mathcal{L}_{\in, NS_{\omega_1}}$ of set theory with an additional predicate for the non-stationary ideal.

Let us define

$$S = \{ X \subset H_{\omega_2} : \bar{X} = \lambda_1, X \text{ is transitive,}$$

$$\exists D (D \text{ is stationary} \wedge$$

$$S \setminus D \text{ is stationary for all}$$

$$S \in P(\omega_1) \cap X \text{ which are stationary} \}$$

By the above and by the proof of theorem 1.3 of [class 12], we get the following.

Lemma 2 ($\text{MA}_{\omega_1}(\delta\text{-closed})^{++}$) S is stationary.

We're now going to use this Lemma to produce the following.

Theorem 1 ($\text{BMM}^{++} + \exists \text{ a Woodin cardinal}$) There is no $A \subset \omega_1$ ad no Σ_1 formula φ in the language \mathcal{L}_\in of set theory s.t. for all $S \in \wp(\omega_1)$, S is stationary iff $\varphi(S, A)$.

Proof: Assume S is stationary iff $\varphi(S, A)$, A, φ as above.

Let g be $\text{P}_{<\delta}^+$ -generic over V , δ being a Woodin cardinal, $\text{P}_{<\delta}^+$ being the associated full stationary tower. Let us pick g s.t. $S \in g$ which is possible by Lemma 2. Let

$$j: V \rightarrow M \subset V[g]$$

be the generic elementary embedding given by g , where M is transitive.

We have that $j'' H_{w_2}^V \in j(s)$. In particular, $j'' H_{w_2}^V$ is transitive, hence $j'' H_{w_2}^V = H_{w_2}^V$ and $\text{crit}(j) \geq w_2^V$. As $\overline{H_{w_2}^V} = \aleph_1$ in M , $\text{crit}(j) = w_2$.

Via a more substantial use of $H_{w_2}^V \in j(s)$,

$M \models \exists D (D \text{ is stationary} \wedge$
 $S \setminus D \text{ is stationary for all}$
 $S \in P(w_1) \cap H_{w_2}^V \text{ which are stationary}$
 $(\text{in } M \Leftrightarrow \text{in } V)$).

By Theorem 2.5.8 of [Lar 04], $\mathord{\text{\rm L}}_M \cap V[g] \subset M$.

This brings us that

$V[g] \models \exists D (D \text{ is stationary} \wedge$
 $S \setminus D \text{ is stationary for all}$
 $S \in P(w_1) \cap V \text{ which are stationary in } V$).

Notice that in particular $V[g]$ is a stationary set preserving extension of V .

Now let \mathbb{P} denote the forcing in $V[g]$ to

shoot a club thru $\omega_1 \setminus D$, i.e., $p \in \mathbb{P}$
 iff $\exists \alpha < \omega_1 (p : \alpha + 1 \rightarrow \omega_1 \setminus D \text{ is continuous})$,
 ordered by end-extension.

Lemma 3 (folklore) Let h be \mathbb{P} -generic over $V[g]$. If $S \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cap V$ is stationary in V , then S is stationary in $V[g, h]$.

Proof: Fix S . Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be any condition, and let $\tau \in V[g]^\mathbb{P}$ be s.t. $p \Vdash \tau \subset \omega_1^V$ is club.

As $S \setminus D$ is stationary in $V[g]$, we may pick

$X \subset V_\theta$, θ suff. large, $\bar{X} = \mathbb{N}_0$, $p, \tau \in X$,

$X_{\eta \omega_1} = \alpha \in S \setminus D$. It is easy to construct a

function $q : \alpha \rightarrow \omega_1 \setminus D$, q continuous and end-

extending p , s.t. for every dense $D \subset \mathbb{P}$,

$D \in X$, there is some $\beta < \alpha$ with $q \upharpoonright \beta \in D \cap X$.

Then $q^* = q \cup \{(\alpha, \alpha)\} \leq_{\mathbb{P}} p$, and

$q^* \Vdash \alpha \in \tau \cap S$. †

In other words, $V[g, h]$ is a stationary set preserving extension of V .

But now by our hypothesis and by the
elementarity of $j: V \rightarrow M$ we get that

$$M \models \varphi(D, A),$$

and hence

$$V[g] \models \varphi(D, A),$$

as φ is Σ_1 . Hence in $V[g, h]$, $\varphi(D, A)$
holds true (again as φ is Σ_1), but D is
non-stationary in $V[g, h]$. Therefore,

$$V[g, h] \models \exists D (D \text{ is nonstationary} \wedge \varphi(D, A)).$$

This statement is Σ_1 in the parameter A and in
the language L_E of set theory, so that we may
use BMM to conclude that

$$V \models \exists D (D \text{ is nonstationary} \wedge \varphi(D, A)).$$

Contradiction!

The conclusion of theorem 1 was thus in fact
proven from the hypothesis $MA_{\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})^{++}$ +
 $BMM + \exists a \text{ Woodin cardinal.}$

We are now going to derive the same conclusion from $(*) \equiv \exists g P_{\max}\text{-generic on } L(\mathbb{R})$ with $H_{\omega_2}^V \subset L(\mathbb{R})[g]$.

Theorem 2. $(*)$) There is no $A \subset \omega_1$ and no Σ_1 formula φ in the language L_E of set theory s.t. for all $S \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$, S is stationary iff $\varphi(S, A)$.

Proof: Suppose that $p \in P_{\max}$, $p \Vdash_{L(\mathbb{R})} "VS"$
 $(S \text{ is stationary iff } \varphi(S, \dot{A}))$.

Here, \dot{A} is the canonical name for the distinguished subset of ω_1 which gives rise to the P_{\max} -generic filter. By [LueSchSch] we know that the conclusion of Theorem 2 is true for $A \in L(\mathbb{R})$, so that we may assume w.l.o.g. that $A \in V \setminus L(\mathbb{R})$ and hence gives rise to a P_{\max} -generic filter.

Claim 1. There is $q \leq_{P_{\max}} p$, $q = (q; N_{\omega_1}^q, b)$, s.t. if $i: p \rightarrow p^* = (p^*; \mathbb{J}, b)$ witnesses

$q <_{P_{\max}} p$, then

$$q \Vdash " \exists T \in \mathcal{P}(w_1) \setminus NS_{w_1}^q \ (\forall s \in (\mathbb{J}^+)^{P^*} \ S \in T \notin NS_{w_1}^q)".$$

Proof of claim 1: Let $p \in q$, p countable in q ,
 q being ~~generic~~ such that $\exists c[(q; NS_{w_1}^q, c)$
is a P_{\max} -condition]. Inside q , write $w_1 = \bigcup_{i < w_1} T_i$,
where each T_i is stationary in q . Working inside
 q , we may produce an iteration $i: p \rightarrow p^*$ of p
of length w_1^q s.t. if ~~this~~ $p^* = (p^*; \mathbb{J}, b)$,
 $S \in (\mathbb{J}^+)^{P^*}$, then $T_i \setminus \mathbb{J} \subset S$ for some i , $0 < i < w_1^q$,
some $\mathbb{J} < w_1^q$. We may then let $T = T_0$ and
 $q = (q; NS_{w_1}^q, b)$.

Now let g be as in Claim 1, and let g be
 P_{\max} -generic over $L(\mathbb{R})$ with $q \in g$. Write
 $V = L(\mathbb{R})[g] = L(\mathbb{R})[A]$, where $A \subset w_1$ is the
distinguished set giving rise to g . By the choice
of p and $q < p$, $q \in g$, in V : $S \subset w_1$ is
stationary iff $\varphi(S, A)$.

Let $j: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* = (\mathfrak{g}^*; K, A)$ be the iteration of \mathfrak{g} of length w_1 given by \mathfrak{g} . By standard Pmax arguments, $K = NS_{w_1}^\vee \cap \mathfrak{g}^*$.

By elementarity,

$$S \setminus j(T)$$

$V \models "j(T) \in \mathcal{P}(w_1) \setminus K \quad (\forall s \in j(\mathbb{J}^{+p^*}) \quad \text{---} \not\in K)"$,

in other words,

$j(T)$ is stationary in V and for all $s \in j(\mathbb{J}^{+p^*})$,
~~S~~ $S \setminus j(T)$ is stationary in V . Hence

$(H_{w_2}^\vee; NS_{w_1}^\vee, A) \models "j(T) \text{ is stationary, } \varphi(j(T), A),$
and $S \setminus j(T)$ is stationary for all
 $s \in j(\mathbb{J}^{+p^*})"$

Now let L be the ideal generated from
 $NS_{w_1}^\vee \cup \{j(T)\}$, i.e., $X \in L$ iff $(X \setminus j(T)) \cap C = \emptyset$
for some club C . It is easy to see that L
is a normal ideal, and $j(\mathbb{J}^{+p^*}) \subset L^+$, so
 $\mathbb{J} = L \cap j(p^*)$.

By taking a countable hull in V , we have verified the following.

Claim 2. There is $\tau = (\tau; \bar{L}, a) \in {}_{\text{P}_{\max}}^q$

such that if $j: q \rightarrow q^*$ witnesses $\tau \in {}_{\text{P}_{\max}}^q$, then

$\tau \models \exists T \in \bar{L} (T \text{ stationary} \wedge \varphi(T, a)) \not\in$

~~nonstationary~~

But now if h is P_{\max} -generic over $L(\mathbb{R})$ ~~and~~
with $\tau \in h$ and $k: \tau \rightarrow \tau^*$ is the iteration
of length w_1 given by h , then $\varphi(k(T), k(a))$
and $k(T)$ is nonstationary in $L(\mathbb{R})[h]$. But
 $\tau \in {}_{\text{P}_{\max}}^q$, contradiction. \dashv

[Claw Sch 12] Claverie, Schindler, Woodin's Axiom (*), etc.,
JSL 77 (2012), pp. 475–498.

[Lar 04] Larson, The stationary tower,
AMS 2004.

[Lue Sch Sch 17] Lücke, Schindler, Schlicht,
Lightface definable subsets of H_{ω_2} ,
JSL 83 (2017), pp. 1106–1131.