The two-point function of noncommutative ϕ_4^4 -theory

Raimar Wulkenhaar

Mathematisches Institut der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität
Münster, Germany



(based on arxiv:0909.1389 with Harald Grosse and work in progress with Harald Grosse and Vincent Rivasseau)

- The Standard Model is a perturbatively renormalisable quantum field theory.
- Scattering amplitudes can be computed as formal power series in coupling constants such as $e^2 \approx \frac{1}{137}$. The first terms agree to high precision with experiment.
- The radius of convergence in e² is zero!
 We are far away from understanding the Standard Model (see e.g. confinement).

- Refined summation techniques (e.g. Borel) may establish reasonable domains of analyticity.
- Unfortunately, this also fails for QED due to the Landau ghost problem.

It is expected to work for non-Abelian gauge theories because of asymptotic freedom.

But these theories are too complicated.

QFT's on noncommutative geometries may provide toy models for non-perturbative renormalisation in four dimensions.

ϕ_4^4 -theory on Moyal space with oscillator potential

action functional

$$S[\phi] = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi \star \left(-\Delta + \Omega^2 \tilde{x}^2 + \mu^2 \right) \phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi \star \phi \star \phi \star \phi \right) (x)$$

Moyal product \star defined by Θ and $\tilde{x}:=2\Theta^{-1}\cdot x$ parameters: $\mu^2, \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_+$, $\Omega\in[0,1]$, redef'n $\phi\mapsto Z^{\frac{1}{2}}\phi$, $Z\in\mathbb{R}_+$

- renormalisable as formal power series in λ [Grosse-W.]
 means: well-defined perturbative quantum field theory
- β -function vanishes to all orders in λ for $\Omega=1$ [Disertori-Gurau-Magnen-Rivasseau] means: model is believed to exist non-perturbatively

Up to the sign of μ^2 , this model arises from a spectral triple.

$(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{dy \ dk}{(2\pi)^d} f(x + \frac{1}{2} \Theta \cdot k) \ g(x + y) e^{iky}$

central observation (in 2D):

$$f_{00} := 2e^{-\frac{1}{\theta}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{00} \star f_{00} = f_{00}$$

ullet left and right creation operators applied to f_{00} lead to

$$f_{mn}(\rho,\varphi) = 2(-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{m!}{n!}} e^{i\varphi(n-m)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}\rho\right)^{n-m} e^{-\frac{\rho^2}{\theta}} L_m^{n-m} \left(\frac{2}{\theta}\rho^2\right)$$

*-product becomes simple matrix product:

$$f_{mn} \star f_{kl} = \delta_{nk} f_{ml}$$
, $\int d^2x f_{mn}(x) = \sqrt{\det(2\pi\Theta)} \delta_{mn}$

•
$$(-\Delta + \Omega^2 \tilde{x}^2 + \mu^2) f_{mn}$$

= $(\mu^2 + \frac{2}{\theta} (1 + \Omega^2) (m + n + 1)) f_{mn}(x)$
 $-\frac{2}{\theta} (1 - \Omega^2) (\sqrt{mn} f_{m-1, n-1} + \sqrt{(m+1)(n+1)} f_{m+1, n+1})$

The 4D-action functional for $\Omega = 1$

expand
$$\phi(x) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \phi_{mn} f_{m_1 n_1}(x_1, x_2) f_{m_2 n_2}(x_3, x_4)$$

- matrices $(\phi_{mn})_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}^2_+}\in M_\Lambda$ with cut-off Λ in matrix size
- correlation functions generated by partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}[J] = N \int \Big(\prod_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}^2_{\Lambda}} d\phi_{mn}\Big) \, \exp \big(-S[\phi] + \operatorname{tr}(\phi J) \big)$$

We are interested in $\mathbb{N}^2_{\Lambda} \to \mathbb{N}^2$. Correlation functions ill-defined unless $S[\phi]$ is a suitably divergent function of Λ :

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}_{\Lambda}^2} rac{1}{2} \phi_{mn} \mathcal{H}_{mn} \phi_{nm} + V(\phi)$$

$$H_{mn} = Z(\mu_{bare}^2 + |m| + |n|) , \quad V(\phi) = \frac{Z^2 \lambda}{4} \sum_{m,n,k,l \in \mathbb{N}_{\Lambda}^2} \phi_{mn} \phi_{nk} \phi_{kl} \phi_{lm}$$

with $|m| = m_1 + m_2$ and divergent $\mu_{bare}[\Lambda, \lambda], Z[\Lambda, \lambda]$. There is no separate Λ -dependence in λ !

Ward identity

- inner automorphism $\phi \mapsto U\phi U^{\dagger}$ of M_{Λ} infinitesimally $\phi_{mn} \mapsto \phi_{mn} + i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_A^2} (B_{mk} \phi_{kn} - \phi_{mk} B_{kn})$
- not a symmetry of the action, but translation invariance of the measure $\mathcal{D}\phi=\prod_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}^2_A}d\phi_{mn}$ gives

$$0 = \frac{\delta W}{i\delta B_{ab}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \left(-\frac{\delta S}{i\delta B_{ab}} + \frac{\delta}{i\delta B_{ab}} (\text{tr}(\phi J)) \right) e^{-S + \text{tr}(\phi J)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \sum_{n} \left((H_{nb} - H_{an})\phi_{bn}\phi_{na} + (\phi_{bn}J_{na} - J_{bn}\phi_{na}) \right) e^{-S + \text{tr}(\phi J)}$$

where $W[J] = \ln \mathcal{Z}[J]$ generates connected functions

perturbation trick
$$\phi_{\it mn}\mapsto {\delta\over\delta J_{\it nm}}$$

$$0 = \left\{ \sum_{n} \left((H_{nb} - H_{an}) \frac{\delta^{2}}{\delta J_{nb} \, \delta J_{an}} + \left(J_{na} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{nb}} - J_{bn} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{an}} \right) \right) \right.$$

$$\times \exp\left(- V\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta J}\right) \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q} J_{pq} H_{pq}^{-1} J_{qp}} \right\}_{C}$$

Interpretation

The insertion of a special vertex $V_{ab}^{ins} := \sum_{n} (H_{an} - H_{nb}) \phi_{bn} \phi_{na}$

into an external face of a ribbon graph is the same as the difference between the exchanges of external sources $J_{nb} \mapsto J_{na}$ and $J_{an} \mapsto J_{bn}$

$$Z(|a|-|b|)$$
 b
 \vdots
 $=$
 b
 \vdots
 $=$
 b
 \vdots
 $=$
 $Z(|a|-|b|)G_{[ab]...}^{ins} = G_{b...} - G_{a...}$

Two-point Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\Gamma_{ab} = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{$$

- vertex is $Z^2\lambda$, connected two-point function is G_{ab} : first graph equals $Z^2\lambda\sum_q G_{aq}$
- in other two graphs we open the *p*-face and compare with insertion into connected two-point function; it inserts
 - either into one-particle reducible line
 - or into 1PI function:

$$G_{[ap]b}^{ins} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

• amputation of G_{ab} : last two graphs together equal $Z^2 \lambda \sum_p G_{ab}^{-1} G_{[ab]b}^{ins}$

Raimar Wulkenhaar

$$\Gamma_{ab} = Z^{2} \lambda \sum_{p} \left(G_{ap} + G_{ab}^{-1} G_{[ap]b}^{ins} \right) = Z^{2} \lambda \sum_{p} \left(G_{ap} - G_{ab}^{-1} \frac{G_{bp} - G_{ba}}{Z(|p| - |a|)} \right)$$

$$= Z^{2} \lambda \sum_{p} \left(\frac{1}{H_{bp} - \Gamma_{bp}} + \frac{1}{H_{ap} - \Gamma_{ap}} - \frac{1}{H_{bp} - \Gamma_{bp}} \frac{(\Gamma_{bp} - \Gamma_{ab})}{Z(|p| - |a|)} \right)$$

- This is a self-consistent functional equation for Γ_{ab} . It is non-linear and singular. Its singular part at (a, b = 0)already appeared in [Disertori-Gurau-Magnen-Rivasseau].
- We perform the renormalisation directly in the SD-equation for Γ_{ab} . The Z-factors are essential for that.
- Taylor: $\Gamma_{ab} = Z\mu_{bare}^2 \mu^2 + (Z-1)(|a|+|b|) + \Gamma_{ab}^{ren}$ \Rightarrow $G_{ab}^{-1} = H_{ab} - \Gamma_{ab} = |a| + |b| + \mu^2 - \Gamma_{ab}^{ren}$
- $\Gamma_{00}^{ren} = 0$ and $(\partial \Gamma^{ren})_{00} = 0$ determine μ_{hare}^2 and Z.

(Münster)

Integral representation

- We replace discrete indices $a, b, p \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by continuous indices $a, b, p \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^2$, and sums by integrals.
- This captures the $\Lambda \to \infty$ behaviour of the discrete version (or defines another interesting field theory).
- The mass-renormalised Schwinger-Dyson equation depends only on the length $|a|=a_1+a_2$. Partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}$ needed to extract Z are equal. Therefore, Γ_{ab}^{ren} depends only on |a| and |b|.
- Hence, $\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+)^2}^{(\Lambda)} dp_1 dp_2 f(|p|) = \int_0^{\Lambda} |p| d|p| f(|p|)$

Mass renormalisation = subtraction at 0:

$$\begin{split} &(Z-1)(|a|+|b|) + \Gamma_{ab}^{ren} \\ &= \lambda \int_{0}^{\Lambda} |p| \, d|p| \Big(\frac{Z}{|b|+|p|+\mu^{2}-\Gamma_{bp}^{ren}} + \frac{Z^{2}}{|a|+|p|+\mu^{2}-\Gamma_{ap}^{ren}} - \frac{Z^{2}+Z}{|p|+\mu^{2}-\Gamma_{0p}^{ren}} \\ &- \frac{Z}{|b|+|p|+\mu^{2}-\Gamma_{bp}^{ren}} \frac{\Gamma_{bp}^{ren}-\Gamma_{ab}^{ren}}{|p|-|a|} + \frac{Z}{p+\mu^{2}-\Gamma_{0p}^{ren}} \frac{\Gamma_{0p}^{ren}}{|p|} \Big) \end{split}$$

- perturbative solution depends on combination $\frac{a}{1+a}$ and $\frac{\Lambda}{1+\Lambda}$
- change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a}| &=: \mu^2 \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \;, \quad |\mathbf{b}| &=: \mu^2 \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \;, \quad |\mathbf{p}| &=: \mu^2 \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho} \;, \\ \Gamma_{ab}^{ren} &=: \mu^2 \frac{1 - \alpha \beta}{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)} \Big(1 - \frac{1}{G_{\alpha\beta}} \Big) \;, \quad \Lambda &=: \mu^2 \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi} \end{aligned}$$

• $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i}\Big|_{a=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\Big|_{\alpha=0}$ to extract Z

Theorem [Grosse-W., 2009]

The renormalised planar connected two-point function $G_{\alpha\beta}$ of self-dual n.c. ϕ_4^4 -theory satisfies (and is determined by)

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 1 + \lambda \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha \beta} (\mathcal{M}_{\beta} - \mathcal{L}_{\beta} - \beta \mathcal{Y}) + \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \alpha \beta} (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} - \alpha \mathcal{Y}) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{1 - \alpha \beta} (G_{\alpha\beta} - 1) \mathcal{Y} - \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{1 - \alpha \beta} (\mathcal{L}_{\beta} + \mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathcal{N}_{\alpha0})$$

$$+ \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \alpha \beta} \left(\frac{G_{\alpha\beta}}{G_{0\alpha}} - 1 \right) (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} + \alpha \mathcal{N}_{\alpha0})$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ and

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{lpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1}\!d
ho \, rac{G_{lpha
ho} - G_{0
ho}}{1-
ho} & \mathcal{M}_{lpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1}\!d
ho \, rac{lpha \, G_{lpha
ho}}{1-lpha
ho} \ \mathcal{N}_{lphaeta} &:= \int_{0}^{1}\!d
ho \, rac{G_{
hoeta} - G_{lphaeta}}{
ho-lpha} & \mathcal{Y} &= \lim_{lpha o 0} rac{\mathcal{M}_{lpha} - \mathcal{L}_{lpha}}{lpha} \end{aligned}$$

- Nonlinearity and singularity can be resolved in perturbation theory. Then: Is the perturbation series analytic at $\lambda = 0$?
- Non-perturbative approach:
 - There are methods for singular but linear integral equations (Riemann-Hilbert problem).
 - Non-linearity treatable by implicit function theorem (or Nash-Moser theorem), but singularity is problematic.

If we could solve the equation for $G_{\alpha\beta}$, then all other *n*-point function should result from a hierarchy of Ward-identities and Schwinger-Dyson equations which are linear (and inhomogeneous) in the highest-order function.

Theorem

The renormalised planar 1PI four-point function $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ of self-dual n.c. ϕ_4^4 -theory satisfies (and is determined by)

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \lambda \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma\delta)(G_{\alpha\delta} - G_{\gamma\delta})}{G_{\gamma\delta}(1 - \delta)(\alpha - \gamma)} + \int_{0}^{1} \rho \, d\rho \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha\delta)G_{\beta\rho}G_{\delta\rho}}{(1 - \beta\rho)(1 - \delta\rho)} \frac{\Gamma_{\rho\beta\gamma\delta} - \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}}{\rho - \alpha}\right)}{G_{\alpha\delta} + \lambda \left((\mathcal{M}_{\beta} - \mathcal{L}_{\beta} - \mathcal{Y})G_{\alpha\delta} + \int_{0}^{1} d\rho \frac{G_{\alpha\delta}G_{\beta\rho}(1 - \beta)}{(1 - \delta\rho)(1 - \beta\rho)} + \int_{0}^{1} \rho \, d\rho \frac{(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha\delta)G_{\beta\rho}}{(1 - \beta\rho)(1 - \delta\rho)} \frac{(G_{\rho\delta} - G_{\alpha\delta})}{(\rho - \alpha)} \right)}$$

Corollary

 $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0$ is not a solution!

We have a non-trivial (interacting) QFT in four dimensions!

- We look for an iterative solution $G_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n G_{\alpha\beta}^{(n)}$.
- This involves iterated integrals labelled by rooted trees.

Up to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$ we need

$$\begin{split} I_{\alpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1} dx \; \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha x} = -\ln(1 - \alpha) \;, \\ I_{\alpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1} dx \; \frac{\alpha \, I_{x}}{1 - \alpha x} = \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \big(\ln(1 - \alpha) \big)^{2} \\ I_{\alpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1} dx \; \frac{\alpha \, I_{x} \cdot I_{x}}{1 - \alpha x} = -2 \operatorname{Li}_{3} \Big(-\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \Big) \\ I_{\alpha} &:= \int_{0}^{1} dx \; \frac{\alpha \, I_{x}}{1 - \alpha x} = -2 \operatorname{Li}_{3} \Big(-\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \Big) - 2 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(\alpha) - \ln(1 - \alpha) \zeta(2) \\ &+ \ln(1 - \alpha) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{6} \big(\ln(1 - \alpha) \big)^{3} \end{split}$$

In terms of I_t and $A := \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha\beta}$, $B := \frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha\beta}$:

$$\begin{split} G_{\alpha\beta} &= 1 + \lambda \Big\{ A(I_{\beta} - \beta) + B(I_{\alpha} - \alpha) \Big\} \\ &+ \lambda^2 \Big\{ A(\beta I_{\beta} - \beta I_{\beta}) - \alpha AB((I_{\beta})^2 - 2\beta I_{\beta} + I_{\beta}) \\ &+ B(\alpha I_{\alpha} - \alpha I_{\alpha}) - \beta BA((I_{\alpha})^2 - 2\alpha I_{\alpha} + I_{\alpha}) \\ &+ AB((I_{\alpha} - \alpha) + (I_{\beta} - \beta) + (I_{\alpha} - \alpha)(I_{\beta} - \beta) + \alpha\beta(\zeta(2) + 1)) \Big\} \\ &+ \lambda^3 \Big\{ A \mathcal{W}_{\beta} + \alpha AB(-\mathcal{U}_{\beta} + I_{\alpha}I_{\beta} + I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}) + \alpha A^2 B \mathcal{V}_{\beta} \\ &+ B \mathcal{W}_{\alpha} + \beta BA(-\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} + I_{\beta}I_{\alpha} + I_{\beta}I_{\alpha}) + \beta B^2 A \mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \\ &+ AB(\mathcal{T}_{\beta} + \mathcal{T}_{\alpha} - I_{\beta}(I_{\alpha})^2 - I_{\alpha}(I_{\beta})^2 - 6I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}) \\ &+ AB^2((1 - \alpha)(I_{\alpha} - \alpha) + 3I_{\alpha}I_{\beta} + I_{\beta}I_{\alpha} + I_{\beta}(I_{\alpha})^2) \Big\} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \end{split}$$

Introduction

where

Introduction

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{\beta} &:= \beta I_{\beta} - \beta I_{\beta} + (I_{\beta} - \beta) \;, \\ \mathcal{U}_{\beta} &:= -\beta I_{\beta} - (I_{\beta})^{3} + \beta I_{\beta} I_{\beta} + 2I_{\beta} I_{\beta} + \beta \zeta(2) I_{\beta} - 2\beta \zeta(3) \\ &- 2(I_{\beta})^{2} + \beta (I_{\beta})^{2} + I_{\beta} + \beta I_{\beta} + 2I_{\beta} - \beta^{2} \;, \\ \mathcal{V}_{\beta} &:= \beta I_{\beta} - \beta^{2} I_{\beta} - 2\beta^{2} \zeta(3) + 2\beta I_{\beta} I_{\beta} - I_{\beta}^{3} + 2\beta I_{\beta} \zeta(2) - 3\beta^{2} \zeta(2) \\ &+ (1 - \beta) (2\beta I_{\beta} - 3I_{\beta}^{2} + 3\beta I_{\beta} - 3I_{\beta} + \beta) \;, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\beta} &:= (I_{\beta} - \beta \zeta(2)) - \frac{1}{2} I_{\beta} \frac{I_{\beta} - \beta}{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} (I_{\beta})^{2} - (I_{\beta} - \beta) - \frac{1}{2} (I_{\beta} - \beta) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} \end{split}$$

Remark:
$$\frac{I_{\beta}-\beta}{\beta} = \int_{0}^{1} dx \frac{\beta x}{1-\beta x}$$

(optimal family of iterated integrals not yet determined)

Ansatz (suggested by perturbation, but consistent in general)

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = 1 + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha\beta}\right)\beta^2 \mathcal{G}_{\beta} + \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha\beta}\right)\alpha^2 \mathcal{G}_{\alpha} + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha\beta}\right)\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1-\alpha\beta}\right)\alpha\beta \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}$$

- ullet coupled system of integral equations for $\mathcal{G}_{lpha},\mathcal{G}_{lphaeta}$
- The 1 inserted into \mathcal{M}_{α} produces $\lambda \ln(1-\alpha)$ in \mathcal{G}_{α} which spreads everywhere
- $\frac{1}{G_{0\alpha}}=\frac{1}{1+\alpha^2\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}}$ becomes singular at some $0<\alpha(\lambda)<1$ for any $\lambda<0$.

This could be cancelled by a common zero of \mathcal{M}_{α} – \mathcal{L}_{α} + $\alpha\mathcal{N}_{\alpha 0}$, which is hard to control.

- To avoid $\ln(1-\alpha)$ we need $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}=-1+\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$ with $\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}=0$
- This additional condition distinguishes one special value of $\lambda = \lambda_0$ at which we want to prove existence of the theory.

ansatz
$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}=-2-\alpha\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}-\beta\mathcal{G}_{\beta}+\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$$
 with $\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}=0$

 $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} S_{\alpha} = 0$ equivalent to

$$-1 = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 d\rho \, \frac{\rho^2 S_\rho}{1 - \rho} - 3 \int_0^1 d\rho \, \rho^2 S_\rho + \int_0^1 d\rho \, \rho \mathcal{T}_{0\rho} \right) \tag{*}$$

- (*) is intrinsically non-perturbative
- insert (*) back into equation for $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha} = -1 + \mathcal{S}_{\alpha}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} d\rho \, K(\alpha, \rho) \mathcal{S}_{\rho} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left(-(1 - \alpha)\mathcal{Y} + (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}\mathcal{Y} \right. \\ &\left. - \int_{0}^{1} d\rho \, \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)^{2}}{(1 - \alpha\rho)^{3}} \rho \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\rho} - \rho (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{T}_{0\rho} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

with

Introduction

$$K(\alpha, \rho) = rac{1}{1 -
ho} rac{
ho^5 (1 - lpha)^3}{(1 - lpha
ho)^3} + rac{(1 - lpha)(1 -
ho)^2}{(1 - lpha
ho)^3}
ho^2 - 3 rac{(1 - lpha)}{1 - lpha
ho}
ho^2 \ \mathcal{Y} = 1 + 3 \int_0^1 d
ho \
ho^2 \mathcal{S}_{
ho} - \int_0^1 d
ho \
ho \mathcal{T}_{0
ho}$$

- integral operator K is unbounded, rhs non-linear
- but K is bounded on functions vanishing polynomially at 1:

$$\left| \int_0^1 d\rho \ K(\alpha,\rho) (1-\rho)^{\nu} \right| \leq K_{\nu} (1-\alpha)^{\nu} \ , \qquad 0 < \nu \leq 1$$

We put $S_{\alpha} = (1 - \alpha)g(\alpha)$ and $\tilde{K}(\alpha, \rho) = \frac{(1 - \rho)}{(1 - \alpha)}K(\alpha, \rho)$

Lemma

Introduction

$$(\mathrm{id}+rac{\lambda}{1+rac{\lambda}{\alpha}}\tilde{K}): extit{$C([0,1])$}
ightarrow extit{$C([0,1])$ is invertible for $|\lambda|<rac{3}{7}$, with}$$

$$\Big\|\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\Big(\mathrm{id}+\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\tilde{K}\Big)^{-1}\Big\|\leq \frac{|\lambda|}{1-\frac{7}{3}|\lambda|}$$

We put $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\rho} = 0$ and define recursively $g_0 = 0$

$$\label{eq:gn+1} \begin{split} g_{n+1} &= \frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \Big(\mathrm{id} + \frac{\lambda \tilde{K}}{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}} \Big)^{-1} \big(\ell g_n - 1\big) \Big(1 + 3 \int_0^1 d\rho \; \rho^2 (1-\rho) g_n(\rho) \Big) \end{split}$$

with $\ell(\alpha) = 1 - \alpha$

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\rho} = 0$ and $|\lambda| < \frac{12}{55} = 0.2\overline{18}$. Then:

- The sequence (g_n) is uniformly convergent to $g = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n \in C([0, 1])$.
- $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha} = (1 \alpha)g(\alpha) \in C_0([0, 1])$ is the unique solution of our integral equation, with

$$|\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}| \leq \frac{12 - 43|\lambda| - \sqrt{(12 - 55|\lambda|)(12 - 31|\lambda|)}}{6|\lambda|}(1 - \alpha) \leq \frac{55}{6}|\lambda|(1 - \alpha)$$

Equation for $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ is regular in first approximation!

With careful discussion of signs, this extends to $-\frac{6}{17} < \lambda \le \frac{6}{5}$.

The next steps

Introduction

- establish differentiability of S_{α} to control $\int_{0}^{1} d\rho \, \frac{S_{\rho} S_{\alpha}}{\rho \alpha}$
- ② interpret equation for \mathcal{T} as recursion $\mathcal{T}^{n+1}(\mathcal{T}^n,\mathcal{S}^n)$ with $\mathcal{T}^0=0$ and \mathcal{S}^0 from Proposition
- **3** compute \mathcal{T}^1 and re-iterate (g_n) for smaller $|\lambda|$
- iterate the procedure

Vision

The resulting function $G_{\alpha\beta}$ solves the original problem only for

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} - \int_0^1 d\rho \, \frac{\rho^2 S_\rho}{1 - \rho} + 3 \int_0^1 d\rho \, \rho^2 S_\rho - \int_0^1 d\rho \, \rho \mathcal{T}_{0\rho}$$

This equation defines the value of λ at which there is a realistic chance to prove non-perturbative existence of the theory.