

Lecture Notes, week 2

Topology WS 2013/14 (Weiss)

2.1. Fiber bundles

Definition 2.1. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map between topological spaces and $x \in B$. The subspace $p^{-1}(\{x\})$ is sometimes called the *fiber* of p over x .

Definition 2.2. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a continuous map between topological spaces. We say that p is a *fiber bundle* if for every $x \in B$ there exist an open neighborhood U of x in B , a topological space F and a homeomorphism $h: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ such that h followed by projection to U equals p .

Note that h restricts to a homeomorphism from the fiber of p over x to $\{x\} \times F$. Therefore F must be homeomorphic to the fiber of p over x .

Terminology. Often E is called the *total space* of the fiber bundle and B is called the *base space*. A homeomorphism $h: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ as in the definition is called a *bundle chart*.

A fiber bundle $p: E \rightarrow B$ whose fibers are discrete spaces (intuitively, just sets) is also called a *covering space*. (A *discrete space* is a topological space (X, \mathcal{O}) in which \mathcal{O} is the entire power set of X .)

Here is an easy way to make a fiber bundle with base space B . Choose a topological space F , set $E = B \times F$ and let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be the projection to the first factor. Such a fiber bundle is considered unexciting and is therefore called *trivial*. Slightly more generally, a fiber bundle $p: E \rightarrow B$ is *trivial* if there exist a topological space F and a homeomorphism $h: E \rightarrow B \times F$ such that h followed by the projection $B \times F \rightarrow B$ agrees with p . Equivalently, the bundle is trivial if it admits a bundle chart $h: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$ where U is all of B .

Two fiber bundles $p_0: E_0 \rightarrow B$ and $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B$ with the same base space B are considered *isomorphic* if there exists a homeomorphism $g: E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ such that $p_1 \circ g = p_0$. In that case g is an *isomorphism* of fiber bundles.

According to the definition above a fiber bundle is a *map*, but the expression is often used informally for a space rather than a map (the total space of the fiber bundle).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle where B is a connected space. Let $x_0, y_0 \in B$. Then the fibers of p over x_0 and y_0 , respectively, are homeomorphic.*

Proof. For every $x \in B$ choose an open neighborhood U_x of $x \in B$, a space F_x and a bundle chart $h_x: p^{-1}(U_x) \rightarrow U_x \times F_x$. The open sets U_x for all $x \in B$ form an open cover of B . We make an equivalence relation R on the set B in the following manner: xRy means that there exist elements

$$x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in B$$

such that $x_0 = x$, $x_k = y$ and $U_{x_{j-1}} \cap U_{x_j} \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Clearly xRy implies that F_x is homeomorphic to F_y . Therefore it suffices to show that R has only one equivalence class. Each equivalence class is open, for if $x \in B$ belongs to such an equivalence class, then U_x is contained in the equivalence class. Each equivalence class is closed, since its complement is open, being the union of the other equivalence classes. Since B is connected, this means that there can only be one equivalence class. \square

Example 2.4. One example of a fiber bundle is $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$, where $p(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$. We saw this in section 1. To show that it is a fiber bundle, select some $z \in S^1$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p(t) = z$. Let $V =]t - \delta, t + \delta[$ where δ is a positive real number, not greater than $1/2$. Then p restricts to a homeomorphism from $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ to an open neighborhood $U = p(V)$ of z in S^1 ; let $q: U \rightarrow V$ be the inverse homeomorphism. Now $p^{-1}(U)$ is the disjoint union of the translates $\ell + V$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. This amounts to saying that

$$g: U \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$$

given by $(y, m) \mapsto m + q(y)$ is a homeomorphism. The inverse h of g is then a bundle chart. Moreover \mathbb{Z} plays the role of a discrete space. Therefore this fiber bundle is a covering space. It is not a trivial fiber bundle because the total space, \mathbb{R} , is not homeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.5. The Möbius strip leads to another example of a fiber bundle. Let $E \subset S^1 \times \mathbb{C}$ consist of all pairs (z, w) where $w^2 = c^2 z$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. This is an implementation of the Möbius strip. There is a projection

$$q: E \rightarrow S^1$$

given by $q(z, w) = z$. Let us look at the fibers of q . For fixed $z \in S^1$, the fiber of q over z is identified with the space of all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $w^2 = c^2 z$ for some real c . This is equivalent to $w = c\sqrt{z}$ where \sqrt{z} is one of the two roots of z in \mathbb{C} . In other words, w belongs to the one-dimensional linear *real* subspace of \mathbb{C} spanned by the two square roots of z . In particular, each fiber of q is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} . The fact that all fibers are homeomorphic to each other should be taken as an indication (though not a proof) that q is a fiber bundle. The full proof is left as an exercise, along with another exercise which is slightly harder: show that this fiber bundle is not trivial.

In preparation for the next example I would like to recall the concept of *one-point compactification*. Let $X = (X, \mathcal{O})$ be a locally compact topological space. (That is to say, X is a Hausdorff space in which every element $x \in X$ has a compact neighborhood.) Let $X^c = (X^c, \mathcal{U})$ be the topological space defined as follows. As a set, X^c is the disjoint union of X and a singleton (set with one element, which in this case we call ∞). The topology \mathcal{U} on X^c is defined as follows. A subset V of X^c belongs to \mathcal{U} if and only if

- either $\infty \notin V$ and $V \in \mathcal{O}$;
- or $\infty \in V$ and $X^c \setminus V$ is a *compact* subset of X .

Then X^c is compact Hausdorff and the inclusion $u: X \rightarrow X^c$ determines a homeomorphism of X with $u(X) = X^c \setminus \{\infty\}$. The space X^c is called the *one-point compactification* of X . The notation X^c is not standard; instead people often write $X \cup \infty$ and the like. The one-point compactification can be characterized by various good properties; see books on point set topology. For use later on let's note the following, which is clear from the definition of the topology on X^c . Let $Y = (Y, \mathcal{W})$ be any topological space. A map $g: Y \rightarrow X^c$ is continuous if and only if the following hold:

- $g^{-1}(X)$ is open in Y
- the map from $g^{-1}(X)$ to X obtained by restricting g is continuous
- for every compact subset K of X , the preimage $g^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of Y (that is, its complement is an element of \mathcal{W}).

Example 2.6. A famous example of a fiber bundle which is also a crucial example in homotopy theory is the Hopf map from S^3 to S^2 , so named after its inventor Heinz Hopf. (Date of invention: around 1930.) Let's begin with the observation that S^2 is homeomorphic to the one-point compactification $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ of \mathbb{C} . (The standard homeomorphism from S^2 to $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ is called *stereographic projection*.) We use this and therefore describe the Hopf map as a map

$$p: S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \infty.$$

Also we like to think of S^3 as the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 . So elements of S^3 are pairs (z, w) where $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$. To such a pair we associate

$$p(z, w) = z/w$$

using complex division. This is the Hopf map. Note that in cases where $w = 0$, we must have $z \neq 0$ as $|z|^2 = |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1$; therefore z/w can be understood and must be understood as $\infty \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ in such cases. In the remaining cases, $z/w \in \mathbb{C}$.

Again, let us look at the fibers of p before we try anything more ambitious. Let $s \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. If $s = \infty$, the preimage of $\{s\}$ under p consists of all $(z, w) \in S^3$ where $w = 0$. This is a circle. If $s \notin \{0, \infty\}$, the preimage of $\{s\}$

under \mathbf{p} consists of all $(z, w) \in S^3$ where $w \neq 0$ and $z/w = s$. So this is the intersection of $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ with the one-dimensional complex linear subspace $\{(z, w) \mid z = sw\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. It is also a circle! Therefore all the fibers of \mathbf{p} are homeomorphic to the same thing, S^1 . We take this as an indication (though not a proof) that \mathbf{p} is a fiber bundle.

Now we *show* that \mathbf{p} is a fiber bundle. First let $\mathbf{U} = \mathbb{C}$, which we view as an open subset of $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. Then

$$\mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) = \{(z, w) \in S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w \neq 0\}.$$

A homeomorphism \mathbf{h} from there to $\mathbf{U} \times S^1 = \mathbb{C} \times S^1$ is given by

$$(z, w) \mapsto (z/w, w/|w|).$$

This has the properties that we require from a bundle chart: the first coordinate of $\mathbf{h}(z, w)$ is $z/w = \mathbf{p}(z, w)$. (The formula $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}, z) = (\mathbf{y}z, z)/\|(\mathbf{y}z, z)\|$ defines a homeomorphism \mathbf{g} inverse to \mathbf{h} .) Next we try $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbb{C} \cup \infty) \setminus \{0\}$, again an open subset of $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^3 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & S^3 \\ \downarrow \mathbf{p} & & \downarrow \mathbf{p} \\ \mathbb{C} \cup \infty & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & \mathbb{C} \cup \infty \end{array}$$

where $\alpha(z, w) = (w, z)$ and $\zeta(s) = s^{-1}$. (This amounts to saying that $\mathbf{p} \circ \alpha = \zeta \circ \mathbf{p}$.) Therefore the composition

$$\mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{V}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{U} \times S^1 \xrightarrow{(s, w) \mapsto (s^{-1}, w)} \mathbf{V} \times S^1$$

has the properties required of a bundle chart. Since $\mathbf{U} \cup \mathbf{V}$ is all of $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, we have produced enough charts to know that \mathbf{p} is a fiber bundle. \square

2.2. Restricting fiber bundles

Let $\mathbf{p}: E \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle. Let A be a subset of B . Put $E|_A = \mathbf{p}^{-1}(A)$. This is a subset of E . We want to regard A as a subspace of B (with the subspace topology) and $E|_A$ as a subspace of E .

Proposition 2.7. *The map $\mathbf{p}_A: E|_A \rightarrow A$ obtained by restricting \mathbf{p} is also a fiber bundle.*

Proof. Let $x \in A$. Choose a bundle chart $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \times F$ for \mathbf{p} such that $x \in \mathbf{U}$. Let $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U} \cap A$, an open neighborhood of x in A . By restricting \mathbf{h} we obtain a bundle chart $\mathbf{h}_A: \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \times F$ for \mathbf{p}_A . \square

Remark. In this proof it is important to remember that a bundle chart as above is not just *any* homeomorphism $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \times F$. There is a condition: for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U})$ the \mathbf{U} -coordinate of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{U} \times F$ must

be equal to $\mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{y})$. The following informal point of view is recommended: A bundle chart $\mathfrak{h}: \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{F}$ for \mathfrak{p} is just a way to specify, simultaneously and continuously, homeomorphisms \mathfrak{h}_x from the fibers of \mathfrak{p} over elements $x \in \mathbf{U}$ to \mathbf{F} . Explicitly, \mathfrak{h} determines the \mathfrak{h}_x and the \mathfrak{h}_x determine \mathfrak{h} by means of the equation

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{y}) = (x, \mathfrak{h}_x(\mathbf{y})) \in \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{F}$$

when $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(x)$, that is, $x = \mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{y})$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be any fiber bundle. Then \mathbf{B} can be covered by open subsets \mathbf{U}_i such that $\mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{U}_i}$ is a trivial fiber bundle. This is true by definition: choose the \mathbf{U}_i together with bundle charts $\mathfrak{h}_i: \mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_i \times \mathbf{F}_i$. Rename $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_i) = \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{U}_i}$ if you must. Then each \mathfrak{h}_i is a bundle isomorphism of $\mathfrak{p}|_{\mathbf{U}_i}: \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{U}_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_i$ with a trivial fiber bundle $\mathbf{U}_i \times \mathbf{F}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_i$.

There are cases where we can say more. One such case merits a detailed discussion because it takes us back to the concept of homotopy.

Lemma 2.8. *Let \mathbf{B} be any space and let $\mathfrak{q}: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \times [0, 1]$ be a fiber bundle. Then \mathbf{B} admits a covering by open subsets \mathbf{U}_i such that*

$$\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathbf{U}_i \times [0, 1]}: \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{U}_i \times [0, 1]} \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}_i \times [0, 1]$$

is a trivial fiber bundle.

Proof. We fix $x_0 \in \mathbf{B}$ for this proof. We try to construct an open neighborhood \mathbf{U} of $\{x_0\}$ in \mathbf{B} such that $\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathbf{U} \times [0, 1]}: \mathbf{E}|_{\mathbf{U} \times [0, 1]} \longrightarrow \mathbf{U} \times [0, 1]$ is a trivial fiber bundle. This is enough.

To minimize bureaucracy let us set it up as a proof by *analytic induction*. So let \mathbf{J} be the set of all $t \in [0, 1]$ for which there exist an open $\mathbf{U}' \subset \mathbf{B}$ and an open subset \mathbf{U}'' of $[0, 1]$ which is also an interval containing 0 , such that $x_0 \in \mathbf{U}'$ and $t \in \mathbf{U}''$ and such that $\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathbf{U}' \times \mathbf{U}''}$ is a trivial fiber bundle. The following should be clear.

- \mathbf{J} is an open subset of $[0, 1]$.
- \mathbf{J} is nonempty since $0 \in \mathbf{J}$.
- If $t \in \mathbf{J}$ then $[0, t] \subset \mathbf{J}$; hence \mathbf{J} is an interval.

If $1 \in \mathbf{J}$, then we are happy. So we assume $1 \notin \mathbf{J}$ for a contradiction. Then $\mathbf{J} = [0, \sigma[$ for some σ where $0 < \sigma \leq 1$. Since \mathfrak{q} is a fiber bundle, the point (x_0, σ) admits an open neighborhood \mathbf{V} in $\mathbf{B} \times [0, 1]$ with a bundle chart $\mathfrak{g}: \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{F}_V$. Without loss of generality \mathbf{V} has the form $\mathbf{V}' \times \mathbf{V}''$ where $\mathbf{V}' \subset \mathbf{B}$ is an open neighborhood of x_0 in \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{V}'' is an interval which is also an open neighborhood of σ in $[0, 1]$. There exists $r < \sigma$ such that $\mathbf{V}'' \supset [r, \sigma]$. Then $r \in \mathbf{J}$ and so there exists $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W}' \times \mathbf{W}''$ open in $\mathbf{B} \times [0, 1]$ with a bundle chart $\mathfrak{h}: \mathfrak{q}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{F}_W$ such that $x_0 \in \mathbf{W}'$ and $\mathbf{W}'' = [0, \tau[$ where $\tau > r$. Without loss of generality, $\mathbf{W}' = \mathbf{V}'$. Now

$W'' \cup V''$ is an open subset of $[0, 1]$ which is an interval (since $r \in W'' \cap V''$). It contains both 0 and σ . Now let $U' = V'$ and $U'' = W'' \cup V''$. If we can show that $q|_{U' \times U''}$ is a trivial fiber bundle, then the proof is complete because $U' \times U''$ contains $\{x_0\} \times [0, \sigma]$, which implies that $\sigma \in J$, which is the contradiction that we need. Indeed we can make a bundle chart

$$k: q^{-1}(U' \times U'') \rightarrow (U' \times U'') \times F_W$$

as follows. For $(x, t) \in U' \times U''$ with $t \leq r$ we take $k_{(x,t)} = h_{(x,t)}$. For $(x, t) \in U' \times U''$ with $t \geq r$ we take $k_{(x,t)} = h_{(x,r)} \circ g_{(x,r)}^{-1} \circ g_{(x,t)}$.

Decoding: remember that $h_{(x,t)}$ is a homeomorphism from the fiber of q over $(x, t) \in W \subset B \times [0, 1]$ to F_W . Similarly $g_{(x,t)}$ is a homeomorphism from the fiber of q over $(x, t) \in V \subset B \times [0, 1]$ to F_V . Also note that $h_{(x,r)} \circ g_{(x,r)}^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism from F_V to F_W , depending on $x \in V_1 = W_1 \subset B$. \square