

# Equivariant Twisted K-Theory, after Atiyah and Segal.

Talk given at the Arbeitsgemeinschaft about twisted  
K-theory,  
Oberwolfach

Johannes Ebert

October 4, 2006

## 1 The definition of equivariant twisted K-theory

Throughout the talk,  $G$  will be a compact Lie group and  $X$  a left  $G$ -space.

### 1.1 The definition of an equivariant twist

First of all, we need to clarify the notion of a  $G$ -equivariant stable projective bundle  $P \rightarrow X$ .

First of all,  $P$  is a fibre bundle with fiber a projective space of infinite dimension and structural group  $\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})$ , endowed with the compact-open-topology.

Secondly, we need to impose an additional condition on the  $G$ -action on  $P$ . If  $x \in X$  is a point and  $G_x$  its stabilizer, then there exists an open  $G_x$ -invariant neighborhood of  $x$  and an isomorphism of projective bundles  $P|_U \cong U \times P_x$  of bundles with a  $G_x$ -action.

Finally, we shall only study *stable* bundles, i.e. bundles  $P$  such that  $P \otimes L^2(G) \cong P$  as a projective  $G$ -bundle. This condition is analogous to the infinite-dimensionality of the bundles in the nonequivariant case.

To get an intuition for stable bundles, we shall study them in the case when  $X$  is a point.

**Lemma 1.1.1.** *The isomorphism classes of stable projective  $G$ -bundles is in bijection with the group  $\text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T})$  of central extensions  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $P \rightarrow *$  be a stable projective bundle. This is nothing else than a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}GL(H)$  for a Hilbert space  $H$  alias a projective representation of  $G$ . The pullback of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathrm{GL}(H) & \\
& \downarrow & \\
G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}\mathrm{GL}(H)
\end{array}$$

is the desired extension  $\tilde{G}$ . This gives  $\Phi : \mathrm{Proj}_G(*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T})$ .

Conversely, let  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a central  $\mathbb{T}$ -extension. We want to create a stable projective representation of  $G$  out of this data. Choose an isomorphism of the central circle with  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Let  $H$  be a stable Hilbert-representation of  $\tilde{G}$ , in other words,  $H \otimes L^2(\tilde{G}) \cong H$  as  $\tilde{G}$ -modules, or, any irreducible representation of  $\tilde{G}$  occurs with countable infinite multiplicity in  $H$ .

Restriction of the representation to the central circle gives a decomposition  $H = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n$ , where  $H_n$  is the subspace of  $H$ , on which the central circle acts by multiplication with  $z^n$ . Then  $H_n$  (unlike  $H$ ) carries a projective representation of  $G$ , which is  $G$ -stable. The whole construction is unique up to isomorphism. The space  $\mathbb{P}(H_1)$  is our sought-after projective bundle, and we have defined a map  $\Psi : \mathrm{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Proj}_G(*)$ .

Clearly,  $\Phi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{id}$ . For  $\Psi \circ \Phi$ , we need the stability condition on the projective bundles.  $\square$

## 1.2 The definition of $K$ -theory

Let  $X$  be a left- $G$ -space and let  $P \rightarrow X$  be an equivariant projective bundle.

Assume that  $P$  is a stable equivariant projective bundle. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable stable  $G$ -Hilbert space (i.e.  $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G) \cong \mathcal{H}$ ) and let  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . Then we can form the associated  $\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})$ -bundle  $Q$ :

$$Q_x := \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}); P_x),$$

(no equivariance).  $G$  acts from the left on  $Q$ , and the bundle map  $Q \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -equivariant. We have seen that  $\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})$  (with compact-open topology) acts continuously on  $\mathrm{Fred}^{(0)}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ . Therefore we can form

$$\mathrm{Fred}(P) := Q \times_{\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})} \mathrm{Fred}^{(0)}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$$

The  $G$ -action is seen in the best way in a more abstract setting. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups, let  $\rho : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism, let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, let  $\pi : Q \rightarrow X$  be a  $H$  principal bundle with an action by  $G$  from the left, making  $\pi$   $G$ -equivariant and let  $V$  be an  $H$ -space. Then  $Q \times_H V \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ -equivariant map via  $g[q; v] := [gq; v]$ . At the first glance, the homomorphism  $\rho$  does not seem to enter the definition. But it is hidden. Let  $X$  be a point. Choose a point  $1 \in Q$ . Then the map

$$[q; v] := [1 \cdot q; v] = [1, qv] \mapsto qv$$

identifies the bundle with the  $G$ -space  $V$  (via  $\rho$ )!

now we define  $K$ -theory.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space and let  $P \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -equivariant twist. Then the equivariant twisted  $K$ -theory is

$$K_{P;G}^0(X) := \pi_0(\text{Sect}(X; \text{Fred}(P))^G).$$

Because the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id} \\ \text{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Fred}^{(0)}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$$

is fixed under  $\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})$ , it forms a section of the bundle  $\text{Fred}(P)$ , which is easily seen to be  $G$ -invariant. Therefore  $\text{Sect}(X; \text{Fred}(P))^G$  has a distinguished base-point and we may speak about higher homotopy groups.

$$K_{P;G}^{-i}(X) := \pi_i(\text{Sect}(X; \text{Fred}(P))^G).$$

Bott periodicity will follow from the  $C^*$ -algebraic definition and can be used to define the  $K$ -groups for all integers.

It is instructive to calculate the twisted equivariant groups when  $X$  is a point. Let  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be a central extension and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a stable  $\tilde{G}$ -module as in the proof of the lemma. Let  $P := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$  with the associated  $G$ -action. Then the space  $\text{Fred}(P)$  (we do not need to consider the space  $\text{Fred}^{(0)}$ , because  $X$  is a point) is just the space of all Fredholm operators of  $\mathcal{H}$ , with the conjugation as  $G$ -action. Thus

$$K_{G;P}^0(*) \cong \pi_0(\text{Fred}(\mathcal{H})^{\tilde{G}}).$$

If the extension is trivial,  $\tilde{G} = G \times \mathbb{T}$ , then we obtain the untwisted equivariant  $K$ -theory of a point, which is isomorphic to the representation ring of  $G$ .

### 1.3 The algebraic definition

There is an alternative definition of the  $K$ -theory in terms of algebraic  $K$ -theory. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra (without unit) of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Because  $\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})_{c.o.}$  acts continuously on  $\mathbb{K}$ , it makes sense to study the bundle of  $C^*$ -algebras  $Q \times_{\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})}$ . again, it is a  $G$ -equivariant bundle. This makes the algebra of sections  $X \rightarrow \times_{\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H})}$  into a  $C^*$ -algebra (if  $X$  is compact) with an  $G$ -action. Call this  $C^*$ -algebra  $\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_P)$ . We can talk about the  $G$ -equivariant  $K$ -theory of this algebra.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with an action  $\alpha$  of  $G$  ( $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  is continuous in the topology of pointwise norm-convergence). Then there is a construction of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G; A, \alpha)$ , and one defines the equivariant  $K$ -theory

$$K_i^G(A) := K_i(C^*(G; A)).$$

Note that the right-hand side is the usual  $K$ -theory of a  $C^*$ -algebra without  $G$ -action.

**Proposition 1.3.1.** *There is a natural homomorphism*

$$K_{G;P}^{-n}(X) \cong K_n^G(\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_P))$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We will not sketch the proof of the proposition, but we will note a corollary. Because the equivariant  $K$ -theory of a  $G - C^*$ -algebra is the usual  $K$ -theory of a  $C^*$ -algebra, Bott periodicity holds for equivariant twisted  $K$ -theory.

## 2 The classification of equivariant twists

If  $X$  is a  $G$ -space, then we can form the Borel construction  $E(G; X) := EG \times_G X$ . It is a bundle with fiber  $X$  on  $BG$ . The equivariant cohomology groups of  $X$  are defined as

$$H_G^*(X) := H^*(E(G; X)).$$

Now we define  $\text{Pic}_G(X)$  as the group of  $G$ -isomorphism classes of complex  $G$ -line bundles on  $X$  and  $\text{Proj}_G(X)$  as the group of  $G$ -isomorphism classes of stable  $G$ -projective bundles on  $X$  (it is a group under the tensor product, with neutral element  $X \times \mathbb{P}(L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2)$ ). If  $L \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ -line bundle, then we can form the line bundle (without  $G$ -action)

$$E(G; L) \rightarrow E(G; X),$$

and a similar construction exists for projective bundles. This gives natural transformations of functors  $G - \mathcal{TOP} \rightarrow \mathcal{SET}$

$$\text{Pic}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(E(G; X))$$

and

$$\text{Proj}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Proj}(E(G; X)),$$

and we want to show that both transformations are bijective.

**Theorem 2.0.1.** 1.  $\text{Pic}_G(X) \cong H^2(E(G; X); \mathbb{Z})$ ,

2.  $\text{Proj}_G(X) \cong H^3(E(G; X); \mathbb{Z})$ .

If  $X$  is a point, then the theorem specializes to

**Corollary 2.0.2.** 1.  $\text{Hom}(G; \mathbb{T}) \cong H^2(BG; \mathbb{Z})$

2.  $\text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T}) \cong H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ .

There are not too many interesting extensions of a compact Lie group: A theorem of Borel asserts that  $H^{odd}(BG; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  and consequently,  $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  is finite<sup>1</sup>.

A more elaborate argument shows that for  $G$  connected,  $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}(\pi_1(G); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}(\text{Tors}(\pi_1(G)); \mathbb{Z})$ . Namely, let  $\pi = \pi_1(G)$  and consider the map  $G \rightarrow K(\pi; 1)$ , which is 2-connected and induces a 3-connected  $BG \rightarrow K(\pi; 2)$ . Thus  $H^3(BG; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^3(K(\pi; 2); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}(\pi_1(G); \mathbb{Z})$ . More geometrically, an extension  $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  gives us the sequence

$$0 = \pi_2(G) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{T}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow \pi_1(G) \rightarrow 0$$

from the long exact homotopy sequence.

The proof of Theorem 2.1.3 needs some preparation, which will be the subject of the next section.

## 2.1 some homological algebra

Let  $X_\bullet$  be a simplicial space (i.e.: a simplicial object in the category of spaces) and let  $A$  be an abelian topological group. We are going to define the hypercohomology  $\mathbb{H}(X_\bullet; sh(A))$  of  $X_\bullet$  with coefficients in the sheaf of  $A$ -valued continuous functions. Let  $sh(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p,2} \dots$  be an injective resolution of the sheaf  $sh(A)$  on  $X_p$ .

There is a natural construction for an injective resolution of a sheaf on a space, so that after taking global sections ( $C^{p,q} := \Gamma(X_p; \mathcal{F}^{p,q})$ ), we have maps  $C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p+1,q}$  given as the sum  $\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i d_i^*$  of the maps induced by the simplicial structural maps.

The result of the whole construction is a double complex with components  $C^{p,q}$ . The cohomology of its total complex is by definition the cohomology  $\mathbb{H}^*(X_\bullet; sh(A))$ .

There is a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X_p, sh(A)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X_\bullet; sh(A)).$$

If either  $X$  or  $A$  is discrete, then we obtain the usual cohomology of the geometric realization of  $X_\bullet$  with coefficients in  $A$ . The example of a simplicial space which is most interesting to us arises from a continuous action of the topological group  $G$  on the space  $X$ . More generally, let us assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a topological category (with discrete object set) and that  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{TOP}$  is a contravariant continuous functor. The *transport category*  $\mathcal{C} \int F$  has object set

$$\mathfrak{Ob}(\mathcal{C} \int F) := \coprod_{c \in \mathfrak{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} F(c),$$

---

<sup>1</sup>**Proof:** The Leray-Serre sequence of the fibration  $BG_0 \rightarrow BG \rightarrow \pi_0(G)$  shows that  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(BG_0; \mathbb{Q})^{\pi_0 G}$ , which reduces the proof to the case of connected  $G$ . Choose a maximal torus  $T \subset G$ . Then the Euler number  $\chi(G/T)$  is the order of the Weyl group  $W$  (Hopf-Samelson). Consider the oriented fiber bundle  $G/T \rightarrow BT \rightarrow BG$ . A transfer argument (Becker-Gottlieb) shows that the induced map  $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$  is injective. The knowledge of the cohomology of  $BT$  finishes the proof.

the set of all pairs  $(c, x)$  with  $c \in \mathfrak{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $x \in F(c)$ . A morphism  $(c, x) \rightarrow (d, y)$  is a  $g : c \rightarrow d$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that  $F(g)^*(y) = x$ . Composition of morphisms is in the obvious way<sup>2</sup>. Because the category  $\mathcal{C} \int F$  has a topology, its *nerve* is a simplicial space.

We can consider the group  $G$  as a category with only one object, while the morphisms are the group elements. Then a contravariant functor  $X : G^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{TOP}$  is the same as a left  $G$ -space  $X$ .

We are interested in the simplicial space  $N_\bullet(G^{op} \int X)$ .

The object space of the transport category is the space  $X$ , while the morphism space  $\mathfrak{Mor}_{G \int X}(x, y)$  is the set of all  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , with the obvious composition. The  $p$ th space  $N_p(G^{op} \int X)$  of the nerve is  $G^p \times X$ , with the face maps  $d_i : G^p \times X \rightarrow G^{p-1} \times X$  given by

$$d_p(g_1, \dots, g_p; x) = (g_1, \dots, g_{p-1}, g_p x);$$

$$d_i(g_1, \dots, g_p; x) = (g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_p; x);$$

$$d_0(g_1, \dots, g_p; x) = (g_2, \dots, g_{p-1}, g_p, x).$$

It is well-known that the geometric realization of  $N_\bullet G \int X$  is homotopy-equivalent to the Borel space  $E(G; X) := EG \times_G X \rightarrow BG$ .

The following is important.

**Lemma 2.1.1.** *If the group  $G$  is compact, then  $\mathbb{H}^p(N_\bullet G \int X; sh(\mathbb{R})) = 0$  for all  $p > 0$ .*

**Proof:** Because the sheaf  $sh(\mathbb{R})$  is fine, the term  $E_1^{p,q}$  is trivial if  $q > 0$  and the spectral sequence collapses at  $E_2$ . The group  $E_1^{p,0}$  is  $C(G^p \times X; \mathbb{R}) \cong C(G^p; C(X; \mathbb{R}))$ . The locally convex complete topological vector space  $V := C(X, \mathbb{R})$  has a continuous  $G$ -action. The differential  $\delta : C(G^{p-1}; V) \rightarrow C(G^p; V)$  is of the form

$$(\delta f)(g_1, \dots, g_p)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i f \circ d_i(g_1, \dots, g_p)(x) =$$

$$f(g_2, g_3, \dots, g_p)(x) - f(g_1 g_2, g_3, \dots, g_p)(x) + \dots (-1)^p f(g_1, \dots, g_{p-1})(g_p x),$$

which is exactly the differential computing the continuous group cohomology  $H_{cts}(G; V)$ . It is (roughly) the right derived functor<sup>3</sup> of the left-exact functor  $G\text{-Vect} \rightarrow \text{Vect}; V \mapsto V^G$ , which sends a locally convex topological complete  $G$ -vector space to its invariant subspace. If  $G$  is compact, then the invariant integration can be used to show that the functor  $V \mapsto V^G$  is even exact (trivial!). Thus the derived functors vanish, and we conclude that

<sup>2</sup>we shall denote the composition of two morphisms  $f : c \rightarrow d$  and  $g : d \rightarrow e$  in a category always by  $g \circ f$ , pretending that they are maps of sets.

<sup>3</sup>Some problems arise because the category of continuous  $G$ -modules is not abelian. The easiest way to overcome the difficulties is to write down the standard resolution of a  $G$ -module  $V$  explicitly. Exactness can be checked immediately, and the  $G$ -invariant subcomplex is the complex which we consider.

$$\mathbb{H}^0(N_{\bullet}G \int X; sh(\mathbb{R})) = C(G \setminus X; \mathbb{R})$$

, while the higher groups vanish. □

We introduce the notation  $H_G^p(X; A) := \mathbb{H}^p(N_{\bullet}G \int X; sh(A))$

**Corollary 2.1.2.** *If  $G$  is compact, then  $H_G^{p+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_G^p(X; \mathbb{T})$ .*

This follows immediately from the proposition and from the use of the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \rightarrow 0$ . □

We are now going to prove

**Theorem 2.1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group.*

1.  $\text{Pic}_G(X) \cong H_G^1(X; \mathbb{T})$ ,
2.  $\text{Proj}_G(X) \cong H_G^2(X; \mathbb{T})$ .

If  $X$  is a point, then the theorem specializes to

**Corollary 2.1.4.** 1.  $\text{Hom}(G; \mathbb{T}) \cong H^1(BG; \mathbb{T})$

2.  $\text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T}) \cong H^2(BG; \mathbb{T})$ .

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 2.1.3, the line bundle case

Now we can prove the theorem. First we study the line bundle case, which is much easier. Recall that the  $E_1$ -term of the spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Proj}(X) & \text{Proj}(G \times X) & \text{Proj}(G^2 \times X) \\ \\ \text{Pic}(X) & \text{Pic}(G \times X) & \text{Pic}(G^2 \times X) \\ \\ C(X; \mathbb{T}) & C(G \times X; \mathbb{T}) & C(G^2 \times X; \mathbb{T}) \end{array}$$

and the differentials are the alternating sums of the maps induced by the face maps. More precisely,

$$C(X; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C(G \times X; \mathbb{T}); f \mapsto ((g, x) \mapsto f(x) - f(gx));$$

$$C(G \times X; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C(G^2 \times X; \mathbb{T}); f \mapsto ((g, h, x) \mapsto f(h, x) - f(gh, x) + f(g, hx));$$

and the same formulae apply to the Pic and Proj groups, with suitable interpretation.

**Lemma 2.2.1.**  $E_2^{1,0} = H_{cts}^1(G; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$  is isomorphic to the group  $\text{Act}(G; X \times \mathbb{T})$  of isomorphism classes of actions of  $G$  on the circle bundle  $X \times \mathbb{T}$ .

**Proof:** An action on the trivialized bundle associates a function  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  for any group element  $g$ . This gives the function  $f \in C(G \times X; \mathbb{T})$ . Changing the trivialization by another function  $h$  (or application of an isomorphism of actions) changes the function to  $f + \partial h$ .  $f$  is closed, because we started with an action.

Given a cocycle  $f$ , we can define the action by  $g(x, t) := (gx, f(g, x) + t)$ . It is easily checked that these construction give bijections.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.2.**  $E_2^{2,0} = H_{cts}^2(G; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$  is the group of all group extensions  $C(X; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  which admit a continuous cross-section (of spaces).

This is classical, see: [2], Theorem 3.12 on p.93.

**Lemma 2.2.3.**  $E_2^{0,1}$  is the group  $\text{Pic}_\rho$  of those line bundles  $L$  on  $X$  which admit a bundle map  $G \times L \rightarrow L$  covering the action map  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ .

**Proof:** The differential  $d_1 : E_1^{1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{1,1}$  maps the line bundle  $L$  to  $L \otimes \mu^* L^{-1}$ .  $\square$   
Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Act}(G; X \times \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_G^1(X; \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_\rho \rightarrow H_{cts}^2(G; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$$

from the spectral sequence. But there is another sequence. Let  $L \in \text{Pic}_\rho$  be a line bundle admitting a bundle map  $\mu : G \times L \rightarrow L$  over the action map  $\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$ . Choose one such bundle map. Try to turn  $\mu$  into an action. We want to have  $\mu(gh, l) = \mu(g, \mu(h, l))$ , and the deviation between both expressions defines a cocycle in  $C(G^2; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$ . It turns out that the cohomology class of this cocycle does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ , and that one can turn  $\mu$  into an action if and only if the cohomology class in  $H_{cts}^2(G; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$  vanishes. Moreover, the resulting map  $\text{Pic}_\rho \rightarrow H_{cts}^2(G; C(X; \mathbb{T}))$  can be identified with the differential.

This argument leads to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Act}(G; X \times \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_\rho \rightarrow H_{cts}^2(G; C(X; \mathbb{T})),$$

which intertwines with the sequence above. An application of the 5-lemma finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.3 The proof for projective bundles; homological part

The projective bundle case is much more difficult. The proof has two main steps. First, one proves that the map  $\text{Proj}_G(X) \rightarrow H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is injective. In the second step, we prove that there exists a  $G$  space  $\mathcal{P}$  and a stable projective  $G$ -bundle on  $\mathcal{P}$ , such that the composition

$$[X; \mathcal{P}]^G \rightarrow \text{Proj}_G(X) \rightarrow H_G^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism, and the surjectivity of the classifying map follows.

For the first step, one employs the spectral sequence again.

## 2.4 The proof for projective bundles, the homotopical part

To construct the space  $\mathcal{P}$ , we first choose a closed subgroup  $H \subset G$  and construct a space  $\mathcal{P}_H$ . We have seen that stable projective representations of  $H$  are in bijection with  $\text{Ext}(H; \mathbb{T})$ . Set

$$\mathcal{P}_H := \coprod_{\mathcal{H} \in \text{Ext}(H; \mathbb{T})} B((\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H}))^H)$$

**Lemma 2.4.1.** *There is an exact sequence of groups*

$$\mathbb{P}U(\ell^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H}))^H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H; \mathbb{T}).$$

**Proof:** Let  $V$  be an arbitrary  $H$ -module. Then it is clear that

$$\mathbb{P}U(V) = \mathbb{P}\{u \in U(V) \mid \forall h \in H \exists \phi_u(h) \in \mathbb{C} : huh^{-1} = \phi_u(h)u\}$$

Given  $u$ , then  $\phi_u(h_0h_1) = \phi_u(h_0)\phi_u(h_1)$ , so  $\phi_u \in \text{Hom}(H; \mathbb{T})$ . Clearly,  $\phi_u$  is a projective invariant and descends to a continuous map  $\mathbb{P}U(V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H; \mathbb{T})$ . On the other hand,  $\phi_{u_0u_1}(h)u_0u_1 = hu_0h^{-1}hu_1h^{-1} = \phi_{u_0}u_0\phi_{u_1}u_1 = \phi_{u_0}\phi_{u_1}u_0u_1$ . Thus  $u \mapsto \phi_u$  is a homomorphism  $\mathbb{P}U(V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H; \mathbb{T})$ . We show that for a stable representation  $V$ , the kernel of  $\phi$  is connected and has the homotopy type of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ .

The kernel of  $\phi$  is the projective space of the space of all honest  $\tilde{H}$ -equivariant maps. Because  $V$  was assumed to be stable, it follows that the homotopy type is  $\mathbb{P}U(\ell^2)$ .

Let  $G$  be a topological group. The orbit category of  $G$  is the topological category  $\mathcal{O}$ , whose objects are the transitive  $G$ -spaces and whose morphisms are the  $G$ -equivariant continuous maps. A  $G$ -space  $Y$  gives a contravariant functor  $F_Y : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{TOP}$  via  $S \mapsto C(S; Y)^G$ . Note that  $F_Y(G) \cong Y$  as a space. We want to reconstruct the  $G$ -space (up to homotopy) from the functor  $F_Y$ . Let  $F : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{TOP}$  be (continuous) functor. We form the topological category  $\mathcal{O} \int F$ . Its object space is

$$\coprod_{S \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{O})} S \times F(S),$$

and a morphism  $(s_0, y_0) \rightarrow (s_1, y_1)$  is a morphism  $\theta : S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ , such that  $\theta(s_0) = s_1$  and  $\theta^*y_1 = y_0$ . Then we form the classifying space  $B(\mathcal{O} \int F)$  of this category. The category  $\mathcal{O} \int F$  has a  $G$ -action (i.e.:  $g(s, y) := (gs, x)$ ). Thus  $B(\mathcal{O} \int F)$  is a  $G$ -space, and one can show that  $B(\mathcal{O} \int F)^H$  contains  $F(G/H)$ . Moreover,  $F(G/H) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{O} \int F)^H$  is a homotopy equivalence.

## 3 Examples

As an example, we study the action of  $G$  on itself by conjugation. Call this  $G$ -space  $G_{\text{conj}}$ . For simplicity, let us assume that  $G$  is *connected*.

We want to compute the groups  $H_G^k(G_{conj})$  for  $k \leq 3$ . This is done by the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration  $G \rightarrow E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow BG$ . The computation is greatly simplified by the existence of the fixed point 1 of the action. This produces a section  $BG \rightarrow E(G; G)$  of the fibration.

Algebraically, we obtain a retraction of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}$  to the constant spectral sequence with  $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(BG)$  for  $q = 0$  and  $= 0$  if  $q > 0$ . Another algebraic consequence is that all differentials  $d_r : E_r^{p,r-1} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r,0}$  are zero!

An interesting and easy case occurs when  $G$  is semisimple. A compact Lie group is semisimple if and only if  $\pi_1(G)$  is finite.

It follows that  $H^1(G) = H^1(BG) = H^2(BG) = 0$ .

Hence, the  $E_2$ -term of the Leray-Serre sequence is

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 H^3(G) & & H^2(BG; H^3(G)) & & 0 & & H^3(BG; H^3(G)) & & H^4(BG; H^3(G)) \\
 & \searrow & & & & & & & \\
 H^2(G) & & 0 & & H^2(BG; H^2(G)) & & H^3(BG; H^2(G)) & & H^4(BG; H^2(G)) \\
 & & & & & & & & \\
 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & & & & & \\
 \mathbb{Z} & & 0 & & 0 & & H^3(BG) & & H^4(BG)
 \end{array}$$

with the only possibly nonzero differential with source in degree  $\leq 3$  indicated. It follows that  $H_G^2(G) = H^2(G)$ .

For  $H_G^3(G)$ , we look at the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(BG) \longleftarrow H_G^3(G_{conj}) \longrightarrow H^3(G) \longrightarrow H^2(BG; H^2(G))$$

(The last group is always finite). Question: can one describe the differential for a simple group?

The splitting of the sequence is induced from the retraction of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence. In particular,

$$H_G^3(G_{conj}) \rightarrow H^3(BG) \oplus H^3(G) = \text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T}) \oplus H^3(G),$$

which sends an equivariant cohomology class to its restriction to the fixed point 1 and the underlying nonequivariant class, is injective with finite cokernel.

Moreover, if  $G$  is in addition simply-connected, then  $H^2(G) = H^3(BG) = 0$  (because  $\pi_2(G) = 0$ ) and the result becomes much simpler.

Another example is the unitary group  $U_m$ ,  $m \geq 2$ . It is not semisimple, but the spectral sequence is not difficult to compute because we know the cohomology.

$$H^*(U_m) \cong \Lambda(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m); \deg(y_i) = 2i - 1;$$

$$H^*(BU_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]; \deg(x_i) = 2i.$$

The spectral sequence is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ & & & & \\ \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z} & 0 & \mathbb{Z}^2 \end{array}$$

All differentials in the indicated domain are trivial, because of the existence of a fixed point. Thus

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(BU_m; H^1(U_m)) \rightarrow H_{U_m}^3(U_m) \rightarrow H^3(U_m) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. The inclusion  $U_1 \rightarrow U_m$  ( $z \mapsto \text{diag}(z, 1, 1, \dots)$ ) induces an isomorphism on  $H^1$  and on  $H^2(B\dots)$ . Thus this inclusion determines

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(BU_m; H^1(U_m)) & \longrightarrow & H_{U_m}^3(U_m) & \longrightarrow & H^3(U_m) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(BU_1; H^1(U_1)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{U_1}^3(U_1) & \longrightarrow & H^3(U_1) = 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

which is a splitting of the exact sequence.

### 3.1 Relation with loop groups

We have seen a classification of all equivariant twists on a compact Lie group  $G$  acting on itself by conjugation, but have not seen a single example of a twist. We do this now.

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group. Then the *loop group*  $\mathcal{L}G$  of  $G$  is the group of all smooth maps  $\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow G$ , under pointwise multiplication.

It is not at all clear what loop groups have to do with equivariant twists on  $G$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}G$  be the space of all smooth maps  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ , such that  $t \mapsto f(t + 2\pi)f(t)^{-1}$  is constant. The loop group  $\mathcal{L}G$  acts from the right on  $\mathcal{P}G$  by pointwise multiplication. The map  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow G; f \mapsto f(2\pi)f(0)^{-1}$  makes  $\mathcal{P}$  into a  $\mathcal{L}G$ -principal bundle.

Moreover,  $G$  acts from the left on  $\mathcal{P}$ , and the computation  $gf \mapsto gf(2\pi)f(0)^{-1}g^{-1}$  shows

that this is a  $G$ -equivariant principal bundle over  $G_{conj}$ .

Because  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$  is equivariant, we can form the Borel construction. The result is the  $\mathcal{L}G$  principal bundle  $EG \times_G \mathcal{P}G \rightarrow EG \times_G G$ . It has a classifying map

$$\lambda : E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow B\mathcal{L}G.$$

A surprising result is the following:

**Proposition 3.1.2.** *If  $G$  is connected, then  $\lambda$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

**Proof:** we construct a homotopy commutative diagram of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & B\Omega G \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow \\ E(G; G_{conj}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & B\mathcal{L}G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{=} & BG, \end{array}$$

The composition  $\lambda \circ j$  is the classifying map for the original bundle  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$ . We construct a lift of this map to  $B\Omega G$ . This lift exists because  $\mathcal{P}G$  has a (nonequivariant) reduction of the structural group to the subgroup  $\Omega G \subset \mathcal{L}G$ . This is seen as follows. Let  $QG := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G \mid f(2\pi + t)f^{-1}(t) \equiv \text{const}; f(0) = 1\}$ . This is an  $\Omega G$ -principal bundle and  $QG \times_{\Omega G} \mathcal{L}G \rightarrow G$  equals  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$ .

It remains to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(G; G_{conj}) & \longrightarrow & B\mathcal{L}G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & BG \end{array}$$

is commutative (up to homotopy). This will be accomplished by a comparison of the two  $G$ -principal bundles on  $E(G; G_{conj})$  given by the two compositions. The bundle classified by  $E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow BG$  is the bundle

$$EG \times_G (G_{conj} \times G_{tr})$$

( $G_{tr}$  means  $G$  with the translation action), while the bundle classified by  $E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow B\mathcal{L}G \rightarrow BG$  is the bundle

$$EG \times_G (\mathcal{P}G \times_{\mathcal{L}G} G).$$

We must show that  $G_{conj} \times G_{tr} \cong \mathcal{P}G \times_{\mathcal{L}G} G$  (as  $G$ -spaces).

An appropriate map  $\mathcal{P}G \times_{\mathcal{L}G} G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}G/\Omega G$  is given by  $[f, g] \mapsto (f(2\pi)f(0)^{-1}; f(0)g^{-1})$ .

If  $G$  is connected, then  $G \rightarrow B\Omega G$  is a homotopy-equivalence, and the proposition follows.  $\square$

Now it is clear how to construct examples of equivariant twists. We need a projective representation  $\rho : \mathcal{L}G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}U(H)$  for some Hilbert space. We should assume that the restriction to the constant loops  $G \subset \mathcal{L}G$  gives a stable projective representation of  $G$ . Once  $\rho$  is given, we form

$$\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{L}G} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow G.$$

By construction, this is a  $G$ -equivariant projective bundle on  $G$ ; and our next task is the determination of the invariant in  $H_G^3(G_{conj})$  of this bundle.

The projective representation  $\rho$  determines an extension of the loop group, and its underlying line bundle gives a class  $u_\rho \in H^2(\mathcal{L}G)$ . Let us assume for simplicity that  $G$  is connected and semisimple. Under this circumstance, we have seen that

$$H_G^3(G) \rightarrow H^3(G) \oplus H^3(BG)$$

is injective. The first component is given by forgetting of the  $G$ -equivariance of the bundle, and the second component is obtained by the restriction of the extension of  $\mathcal{L}G$  to the constant loops  $G$ . we do not bother about the second component here.

It is clear that the invariant in  $H^3(G)$  is given by the homotopy class

$$G \longrightarrow B\mathcal{L}G \longrightarrow B\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H}) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}; 3).$$

and we need to relate the cohomology classes  $u \in H^2(\mathcal{L}G)$  and  $B\rho \in H^3(B\mathcal{L}G)$ . This is done by the next lemma

**Lemma 3.1.3.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a topological group and let  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}U(H)$  be a representation. Let  $u$  be the first Chern class of the line bundle defined by the extension and  $v \in H^3(B\Gamma)$  be the class given by  $B\rho$ . Then the following holds:*

1.  $u \in H^2(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ ;
2.  $d_2 u = 0 \in H^2(B\Gamma; H^1(\Gamma))$  (differential in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence for  $\Gamma \rightarrow E\Gamma \rightarrow B\Gamma$ );
3.  $d_3(u) = v \in H^3(B\Gamma)$ .

**Proof:** By naturality, it suffices to check the statements for the case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{P}U(H)$ . There it is a well-known standard result about the spectral sequence of the path-loop fibration of a space.  $\square$

Thus  $u$  lies in the domain of a partially defined map  $H^2(\mathcal{L}G) \rightarrow H^3(B\mathcal{L}G)$ .

Let  $G$  be semisimple, and connected. We have seen that it suffices to determine the class of  $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{L}G} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$  as a nonequivariant bundle and the class of the restriction to the point  $1 \in G$  in  $\text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T})$ .

The latter is very easy: There is an inclusion homomorphism  $j : G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}G$  by regarding

elements of  $G$  as constant loops, and the restriction of the projective  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{L}G} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$  to  $1 \in G$  is just the projective representation  $\rho \circ j$  of  $G$ .

[IS THIS A STABLE REPRESENTATION; AND IF SO: WHY???

The representation  $\rho$  defines a central extension  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}G$  of  $\mathcal{L}G$ , and the class in  $\text{Ext}(G; \mathbb{T})$  is just the extension of  $G$  obtained by pulling back  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}G$  via  $j$ .

Thus we are left with the problem of determining the class of the bundle as a non-equivariant bundle.

Clearly,

$$G \rightarrow B\mathcal{L}G \rightarrow B\mathbb{P}U(\mathcal{H}) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}; 3)$$

classifies the non-equivariant cohomology class of  $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{L}G} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ , where the first map classifies  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$  and the second map is induced from  $\rho$ .

Let us compute the low-dimensional cohomology of  $\mathcal{L}G$  and  $B\mathcal{L}G$ . There is an exact sequence of groups  $\Omega G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}G \rightarrow G$ , which is split and shows us that  $\mathcal{L}G$  and  $G \times \Omega G$  are homeomorphic. Caution: They are *not* isomorphic as groups and we cannot conclude that  $B\mathcal{L}G \simeq BG \times B\Omega G$

**Proof:** Recall that  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$  is a  $G$ -equivariant  $\mathcal{L}G$ -bundle. Thus we can form the (nonequivariant)  $\mathcal{L}G$ -bundle

$$EG \times_G \mathcal{P}G \rightarrow EG \times_G G_{conj},$$

with classifying map  $E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow B\mathcal{L}G$ . The restriction to the fiber  $G$  of  $E(G; G_{conj}) \rightarrow BG$  is the original bundle  $\mathcal{P}G \rightarrow G$ , with the  $G$ -action forgotten.

Next

## References

- [1] Atiyah-Segal: Twisted K-Theory
- [2] K. Brown: Cohomology of groups, Springer, 1982.
- [3] Pressley-Segal: Loop groups, Oxford university press,