

M. Bays

1.6.17

The Theorem of Kaplan-Scanton-Wagner

K char p . $\rho: (K, +) \rightarrow (K, +) \quad x \mapsto x^p - x$

"Artin-Schreier map"

ρ is a homom. with $\ker(\rho) = \mathbb{F}_p$

Fact: $K/\rho(K) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}_K, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the Galois extensions of K of degree p .

Fact (Kummer): $l \neq p$ prime, $\mu_l \subseteq K$ l^{th} roots of unity
then $K^*/(K^*)^l \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}_K, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$

Macintyre: K ω -stable field, then $\rho(K) = K$, $(K^*)^p = K^*$,
 $\mu_l \subseteq K^*$. Same holds for finite ext. of K ,
so K is alg. closed. (since K is also perfect).

In NIP: $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \cdot)$ is NIP but $(\mathbb{Q}_p^*)^l \neq \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ for any
prime l .

Theorem [KSW]: Suppose $(K, +, \cdot)$ is an infinite NIP
of char. $p > 0$. Then $\rho(K) = K$.

Corollary 1: K NIP, $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. Then K has no
Galois ext. of degree p .

Corollary 2: K NIP, $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. Then K has no
Galois ext. of degree div. by p .
(eq. no sep. ext. of degree p).

Pf: $L \supseteq K$ sep., $p \mid [L:K]$. L' = normal closure of L
Ex. $K \subseteq K' \subseteq L'$ s.t.h. $K' \subseteq L'$ is Gal. of degree p .
But $(K', +, \cdot)$ is interpreted in K , so K' is NIP
 \downarrow cor. 1 □

Corollary 3: K inf. NIP field of char $p > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} \cap K = \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$$

Proof: $k := \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} \cap K$

K is AS-closed $\Rightarrow k$ is AS-closed

$\Rightarrow k$ is infinite

Lang-Weil $\Rightarrow k$ is PAC

Duret $\Rightarrow k$ has IP or is sep. closed

Duret $\Rightarrow k$ is sep. closed, so $k = \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ \square

Proof of Thm: wlog, K is λ_0 -saturated.

By Baldwin-Saxl applied to $(a_p(K))_{a \in K}$

[note that $a_p(K) \leq K$ additive subgroup, s.a. $a \in K$]

ex. some N s.t. s.a. $(a_0, \dots, a_m) \in K^{m+1}$ ex. some

subtuple $(a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{N-1}})$ with $\bigcap_{j=0}^m a_j p(K) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{N-1} a_{i_k} p(K)$ (*)

Define $G_{\bar{a}} = \{ \bar{x} \mid a_0 p(x_0) = \dots = a_m p(x_m) \} \leq K^{m+1}$

By (*), $\text{pr}: G_{\bar{a}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{a}'}$ is surjective

$$(x_0, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_{i_0}, \dots, x_{i_{N-1}})$$

whenever $m > N$.

Let $k = K^{p^\infty} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} K^{p^n}$ perfect subfield of K . (choose

$\bar{b} = (b_0, \dots, b_N) \in K^{N+1}$ alg. indep. (so $\text{trdeg}(\bar{b}) = N+1$,

ex. by λ_0 -saturation of K).

Then $\text{pr}: G_{\bar{b}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{b}'}$ is surjective where wma

$$\bar{b}' = (b_{i_0}, \dots, b_{i_N})$$

Claim 1: If $\bar{a} \in K^{< \omega}$ alg. independent, then $G_{\bar{a}}$ is

isomorphic / k to the additive group of the

field as algebraic group. (Get $G_{\bar{a}}(\bar{K}) \cong (\bar{K}, +)$)

[proof at the end if there is time]

Then pr induces $G_{\bar{b}}(K) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} G_{\bar{b}'}(K)$ a surj. hom.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (K, +) & \longrightarrow & (K, +) \end{array}$$

$\theta: (k, +) \rightarrow (k, +)$ with $|\ker(\theta)| = |\ker p| = p$.

Claim 2: $\theta(t) = \alpha p(ct)^{p^m}$ for some $\alpha, c \in k^*$, $m \geq 0$

Hence, if $x \in k$, there ex. $y \in k$ s.t. $\alpha x^{p^m} = \alpha p(y)^{p^m}$

hence $x = p(y)$

[so done if we prove Claim 1 & 2]

Proof of Claim 2.

Fact: $\theta(t) = \sum_{m=0}^s d_m t^{p^m}$ for some $d_m \in k$.

for any θ an alg. homom. $(k, +) \xrightarrow{\theta} (k, +)$

in $\text{char}(k) = p$

Now $|\ker \theta| = p$ for $\theta: (\bar{k}, +) \rightarrow (\bar{k}, +)$.

say $\theta(t) = \theta_0(ct)^{p^{m_0}}$ where $\theta_0(x) = \sum_m e_m x^{p^m}$

with $e_0 \neq 0$ and $\theta_0(1) = 0$

Then $(\theta_0, \theta_0) = 1$, so θ_0 is sep. so $\deg(\theta_0) = p$ hence

$\ker(\theta_0) = \mathbb{F}_p$.

so $\theta_0(x) = e_0 x + e_1 x^p$ and $\theta_0(1) = 0 \Rightarrow e_0 = -e_1$

so $\theta_0(x) = e_1 (x^p - x) = e_1 p(x)$.

so $\theta(t) = e_1 p(ct)^{p^{m_0}}$ □

Proof of Claim 1:

$\bar{\alpha} = \{\alpha_0 p(x) = \dots = \alpha_n p(x_n)\}$ alg. group.

Let $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in k$ s.t.h.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i^{-p^{-m}} \alpha_i = \begin{cases} 1 & m=0 \\ 0 & m=1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

(exists since $(\alpha_i^{-p^{-m}})_{i,m}$ is non-sing. since

$\text{td}(\bar{\alpha}) = n+1$ and $\alpha_i^{-p^{-m}} \in k$ since k is perfect)

set $t := \sum \alpha_i x_i$. Then $t^{p^k} = \sum \alpha_i^{p^k} x_i$

[proof by induction on k : $t^{p^{k+1}} = (t^{p^k})^p = \sum_i \alpha_i^{p^{k+1}} x_i^p$
 $= \sum_i \alpha_i^{p^{k+1}} (p(x_i) + x_i)$]

$$= \sum \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_i p^{k_i}}{a_i} \cdot a_i p(x_i)}_{=0} + \sum \alpha_i p^{k_i} x_i$$

Claim: $(\alpha_i p^{k_i})_{i,m}$ is non-singular (i.e. invertible)

Then $x_i = \sum_m \beta_{i,m} t^{p^m}$

Homework!