

## Dimension Functions on Simple $C^*$ -Algebras

Joachim Cuntz

Fachbereich Mathematik TU Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 135, D-1000 Berlin 12

In order to make available for  $C^*$ -algebras the results of Goodearl and Handelman [5] on existence and uniqueness of rank functions on regular rings, we associate in the present note with every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  an abelian group  $K_0^*(A)$ . The construction of this group is analogous to the construction of the Grothendieck group  $K_0(A)$  (which recently has been applied successfully to the classification of  $AF$ -algebras by G. Elliott [4]) but the group  $K_0^*(A)$  itself is in general quite different from  $K_0(A)$ . We are mainly interested in the case where  $A$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. If  $A$  is such an algebra we call  $A$  finite, if  $x^*x=1$  implies  $xx^*=1$  ( $x \in A$ ), and we call  $A$  stably finite if  $M_n \otimes A$  ( $M_n = C^*$ -algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices) is finite for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $K_0^*(A)$  is non-trivial if and only if  $A$  is stably finite. We note, incidentally, that it was shown in [3, 2.4] that a simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with unit is stably finite if and only if  $\mathcal{K} \otimes A$  ( $\mathcal{K} = C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space) contains at least one non-trivial ideal (which can of course not be closed). It is an open problem which is probably difficult to decide if every finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit is stably finite. However, if one studies traces on  $A$ , one may restrict attention to stably finite algebras. In fact, if there is a trace on  $A$ , then  $A$  is stably finite.

In the classification of factors by Murray and von Neumann [7] a decisive role is played by dimension functions. These functions are closely related to traces on the factors. In the case of simple  $C^*$ -algebras, we define, for every finite trace  $\tau$  on  $A$ , a dimension function  $\bar{R}_\tau$  which is related in a similar way to  $\tau$ . In particular,  $\tau$  can be recovered from  $\bar{R}_\tau$  by integration. Thus, results on dimension functions will in particular yield information about traces on  $A$ .

We equip  $K_0^*(A)$  with a partial order in the same way in which this is done for  $K_0$  in [4] and [5]. Then order preserving homomorphisms from  $K_0^*(A)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  correspond in a one to one fashion to dimension functions on  $A$ . This allows us to apply the abstract results on ordered abelian groups in [5] to the study of dimension functions on  $C^*$ -algebras. In particular, we get lower and upper bounds for all dimension functions on  $A$  and we get the existence of sufficiently many dimension functions on every stably finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. As a

consequence, a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit is stably finite if and only if it has a dimension function.

The ideas and techniques of [2] are basic for the present paper. However, instead of the relation  $\lesssim$  of [2], we use here a relation  $\lesssim$  which takes into account the topological structure of the algebra. The main technical advantage of  $\lesssim$  lies in the validity of Proposition 1.1. In Sections 5 and 6 we indicate without proofs some connections between the present ideas and the results in [2] and [4].

I am indebted to A. Connes, D. Handelman, and G. Elliott for several remarks which incited me to write this paper.

1. Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra (or an involutive subalgebra of a  $C^*$ -algebra admitting functional calculus) and  $x, y \in B$ . We write  $x \lesssim y$  if there are  $a, b$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{B}$ , obtained from  $B$  by adjoining a unit, such that  $x = ayb$ .

Further we define a relation  $\lesssim$  on  $B$  by  $x \lesssim y$  if there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $B$  such that  $x_n \lesssim y$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . This relation is clearly transitive and reflexive. We write  $x \equiv y$  if  $x \lesssim y$  and  $y \lesssim x$ . We have  $x \equiv x^*$  and if  $|x|$  denotes the absolute value  $|x| = x^*x^{1/2}$  of  $x$  then for any element  $h$  of the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $|x|$  we have  $h \lesssim x$ .

Denote by  $f_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the continuous function defined by  $f_\varepsilon(t) = 0$  ( $-\infty < t \leq \varepsilon/2$ ),  $f_\varepsilon$  is linear on  $[\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon]$ ,  $f_\varepsilon(t) = 1$  ( $\varepsilon \leq t < \infty$ ). Then  $y f_\varepsilon(|y|) \rightarrow y$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) for every  $y \in B$ . If  $x \lesssim y$  and  $x_n = a_n y b_n \rightarrow x$  ( $a_n, b_n \in \tilde{B}$ ), then  $a_n y f_{\varepsilon_n}(|y|) b_n \rightarrow x$  if  $\varepsilon_n$  converges sufficiently fast to 0. Therefore we may assume that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $x_n \lesssim f_\varepsilon(|y|)$ . We say that  $x$  is orthogonal to  $y$  ( $x \perp y$ ) if  $xy = yx = x^*y = yx^* = 0$ .

**1.1. Proposition.** Let  $a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in B$ . If  $\bar{a} \perp \bar{b}$  and  $a \lesssim \bar{a}$ ,  $b \lesssim \bar{b}$  then  $a + b \lesssim \bar{a} + \bar{b}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = x_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{a}|) y_n \rightarrow a$  and  $b_n = u_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{b}|) v_n \rightarrow b$ . Since  $f_\varepsilon(|\bar{a} + \bar{b}|) = f_\varepsilon(|\bar{a}|) + f_\varepsilon(|\bar{b}|)$  and since

$$f_{\varepsilon/2}(|\bar{a}|) f_\varepsilon(|\bar{a}|) = f_\varepsilon(|\bar{a}|), \quad f_{\varepsilon/2}(|\bar{a}|) f_\varepsilon(|\bar{b}|) = 0,$$

we get

$$a_n + b_n = (x_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{a}|))^{1/2} \\ + u_n f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{b}|)^{1/2} (f_{\varepsilon_n/2}(|\bar{a} + \bar{b}|)) (f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{a}|)^{1/2} y_n + f_{\varepsilon_n}(|\bar{b}|)^{1/2} v_n).$$

Since  $f_\varepsilon(|\bar{a} + \bar{b}|) \lesssim \bar{a} + \bar{b}$  we have  $a_n + b_n \lesssim \bar{a} + \bar{b}$ , and the proof is complete.

2. For the rest of the paper  $A$  denotes a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. We call a function  $N: A \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a rank function if

- (a)  $N(1) = 1$
- (b)  $N(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (c)  $N(x + y) = N(x) + N(y)$  if  $x, y$  are orthogonal elements of  $A$ .
- (d)  $x \lesssim y$  implies  $N(x) \leq N(y)$ .

A function  $N$  which satisfies (a), (b), (c) and is lower semi-continuous is already a rank function, if it satisfies

$$(d)_1 \quad N(xy) \leq N(x), N(y)$$

or the equivalent condition

$$(d)_2 \quad x \lesssim y \text{ implies } N(x) \leq N(y).$$

This follows at once from the definition of  $\lesssim$ .

We say that a state  $\tau$  on  $A$  is a finite trace if  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$  ( $x, y \in A$ ).

**2.1. Proposition.** *Let  $\tau$  be a finite trace on  $A$ . Then the limit  $R_\tau(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(f_\varepsilon(|x|))$  exists for every  $x \in A$  and the function  $R_\tau$  thus defined is a lower semi-continuous rank function on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is obvious since  $f_\varepsilon(|x|)$  is monotone increasing as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\leq 1$ .

Let us show that  $R_\tau$  is lower semi-continuous. If  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $f_\varepsilon(|x_n|) \rightarrow f_\varepsilon(|x|)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  (it suffices to show this for a complex polynomial  $P$  in the place of  $f_\varepsilon$ ). Thus by continuity of  $\tau$ , for every  $\alpha > 0$ , there are  $\varepsilon_0, n_0$  such that

$$R_\tau(x) \leq \tau(f_\varepsilon(|x_n|)) + \alpha \quad (n \geq n_0, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0).$$

Thus  $R_\tau(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_\tau(x_n)$ .

In order to prove that  $R_\tau$  is a rank function, it suffices now to check condition  $(d)_1$  [(a), (b), (c) are obviously fulfilled]. Let  $x, y \in A$  and set  $h = y^* x^* xy$ . The obvious equation  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon(|y|) y^* = y^*$  yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon(|y|) P(h) = P(h)$$

for any complex polynomial  $P$  without constant coefficient. By continuity we may replace in this equation  $P$  by any continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  vanishing at 0. In particular

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\varepsilon(|y|) f_\delta(h^{1/2}) f_\varepsilon(|y|) = f_\delta(h^{1/2}).$$

Now we have  $f_\varepsilon(|y|) f_\delta(h^{1/2}) f_\varepsilon(|y|) \leq f_\varepsilon(|y|)$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_\tau(y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(f_\varepsilon(|y|)) \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(f_\varepsilon(|y|) f_\delta(h^{1/2}) f_\varepsilon(|y|)) = \tau(f_\delta(h^{1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

Since finally  $R_\tau(xy) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau(f_\delta(h^{1/2}))$ , this shows  $R_\tau(xy) \leq R_\tau(y)$ . The corresponding relation for  $x$  follows from the identities  $R_\tau(x) = R_\tau(x^*)$  and  $R_\tau(xy) = R_\tau(y^* x^*)$ .

**2.2. Proposition.** *Two finite traces which determine the same rank function, are equal.*

*Proof.* It was shown in [2, 4.3] that  $\tau$  can be obtained from  $R_\tau$  by integration. We include the argument for completeness. Let  $\tau$  be a finite trace on  $A$  and  $h = h^* \in A$ . Then  $\tau$  induces a positive measure  $d\tau$  on the spectrum  $\text{Sph}$  of  $h$  through the

relation

$$\int_{\text{Sph}} f d\tau = \tau(f(h))$$

for each continuous function  $f$  on  $\text{Sph}$ . Let  $g$  be any positive continuous function on  $\text{Sph}$  and let  $U_g = \{s \in \text{Sph} | g(s) > 0\}$ . As  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0, the functions  $f_\varepsilon \circ g$  converge pointwise to the characteristic function  $\chi_{U_g}$  of  $U_g$  and

$$\int \chi_{U_g} d\tau = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\text{Sph}} f_\varepsilon \circ g d\tau = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tau(f_\varepsilon(g(h))) = R_\tau(g(h)).$$

This situation can be interpreted in the opposite sense. In fact,  $R_\tau$  induces then a unique measure  $\varrho$  on  $\text{Sph}$  by  $\varrho(U) = R_\tau(g(h))$ , where  $U$  is any open subset of  $\text{Sph}$  and  $g$  is a positive continuous function on  $\text{Sph}$  chosen in such a way that  $U = U_g$  [1, 5.7]. From this point of view we get

$$\tau(g(h)) = \int_{\text{Sph}} g d\varrho$$

for all continuous functions  $g$  on  $\text{Sph}$ . In particular  $\tau$  is uniquely determined by  $R_\tau$ .

*Remark.* In the present terminology the function  $\lambda$  constructed in [2] is a rank function rather than a dimension function.

**3.** In the following we denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the algebra of operators of finite rank on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space and by  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $A$ . We note that any normal  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  admits functional calculus of continuous functions in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , since there is a finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $M$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in M \otimes A \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , and  $M \otimes A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

We call a function  $D: \mathcal{F} \otimes A \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  a dimension function (on  $A$ ) if

- (a)  $D(p \otimes 1) = 1$  for any one-dimensional projection  $p$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- (b)  $D(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
- (c)  $D(x + y) = D(x) + D(y)$  for all orthogonal elements  $x, y$  of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ .
- (d)  $x \preceq y$  implies  $D(x) \leq D(y)$ .

$D$  is called a lower (upper) dimension function if instead of (c) we have  $D(x + y) \leq D(x) + D(y)$  ( $D(x + y) \geq D(x) + D(y)$ ) for all orthogonal  $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , and if, in addition,  $D(x_1 + \dots + x_n) = nD(x)$ , whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  such that  $x_i \equiv x$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

If  $D$  is a dimension function and  $p$  is a one-dimensional projection in  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $p \otimes A$  is isomorphic to  $A$  and  $N_D = D|_{p \otimes A}$  is a rank function. It does not seem clear that, conversely, every rank function on  $A$  should extend to a dimension function.

*Remark.* If there is a dimension function  $D$  on  $A$ , then  $A$  is stably finite. In fact, if  $A$  is not stably finite then we can find in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  two projections  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $p \preceq q$  and  $p \equiv q$ . Then  $D(p) = D(q) = D(p) + D(q - p)$ . This implies  $D(q - p) = 0$  which is impossible.

**3.1. Proposition.** *Let  $D$  be a lower dimension function on  $A$ . Then  $D(x+y) \leq D(x) + D(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ .*

*Proof.* There are two orthogonal elements  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  such that  $x \equiv \bar{x}$  and  $y \equiv \bar{y}$ . Using 1.1 we get  $x + y \leq \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ . But then

$$D(x+y) \leq D(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) \leq D(\bar{x}) + D(\bar{y}) = D(x) + D(y).$$

*Remark.* Let  $D: \mathcal{F} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function satisfying conditions (a), (c), (d). Then by (c)  $D(0)=0$ , and in view of Proposition 3.1 and condition (d) the set  $I = \{x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A \mid D(x)=0\}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . If we assume that  $A$ , hence  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  is simple, we get  $I = \{0\}$  and  $D$  is a dimension function.

**3.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\tau$  be a finite trace on  $A$ . We set  $\bar{\tau} = T \otimes \tau$ , where  $T$  is the canonical trace on  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $T \otimes \tau$  is the unique linear functional on  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  such that  $T \otimes \tau(a \otimes b) = T(a)\tau(b)$ , ( $a \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $b \in A$ ). Then the limit*

$$\bar{R}_\tau(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{\tau}(f_\varepsilon(|x|))$$

*exists for every  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  and the function  $\bar{R}_\tau$  thus defined is a lower semi-continuous dimension function on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 2.1 since we may calculate for finitely many elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the numbers  $\bar{R}_\tau(x_i)$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) in  $M \otimes A \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , where  $M$  is a suitable finite-dimensional subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Remark.*  $R_\tau$  is obtained from  $\bar{R}_\tau$  by restriction to  $p \otimes A \cong A$ , where  $p$  is a one-dimensional projection in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**3.3. Corollary.** *Let  $\tau$  be a finite trace on  $A$ . Then*

$$R_\tau(x+y) \leq R_\tau(x) + R_\tau(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

**3.4. Proposition.** *Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be two finite traces on  $A$  such that  $\bar{R}_{\tau_1} = \bar{R}_{\tau_2}$ . Then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 2.2 and the remark above.

**4.** Throughout this section we let  $A$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. Given  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , we denote by  $\langle x \rangle$  the  $\equiv$ -equivalence class of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Let  $F$  be the free abelian group generated by  $\{\langle x \rangle \mid x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A\}$  and let  $R$  be the subgroup of  $F$  generated by all elements of the form  $\langle x \rangle + \langle y \rangle - \langle x_1 + y_1 \rangle$  ( $x_1 \in \langle x \rangle$ ,  $y_1 \in \langle y \rangle$ ,  $x_1 \perp y_1$ ). Note that  $\langle x_1 + y_1 \rangle$  does not depend on the choice of  $x_1, y_1$  by Proposition 1.1. We denote by  $K_0^*(A)$  the quotient  $F/R$ .

Let  $[x]$  be the image of  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  in  $K_0^*(A)$ . Every element of  $K_0^*(A)$  has obviously the form  $[x] - [y]$  ( $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ). Given  $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , we have  $[x] = [y]$  if and only if there is  $a \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ,  $a \perp x$ ,  $a \perp y$  such that  $x + a \equiv y + a$ . This follows from the proof of Lemma 1.1 in [6] together with Proposition 1.1.

If  $p$  is an infinite projection in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , then for every  $a$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  we have  $a \lesssim p$  (cf. [2, 2.2]). Hence  $[p] + [x] = [p]$  for every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . In fact, if  $x_1 \in [x]$  is orthogonal to  $p$ , then  $p + x_1 \lesssim p$ , and  $p \lesssim p + x_1$  is obvious. Therefore  $[x] = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  and this shows that  $K_0^*(A)$  is trivial if  $A$  is not stably finite. We show

now in the following lemma that, for stably finite  $A$ , we have  $[a] \neq 0$  whenever  $a \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ .

**4.1. Lemma.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite and let  $a, b$  be orthogonal elements of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Then  $a + b \lesssim a$  implies  $b = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $a, b \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  write  $n\langle a \rangle \lesssim m\langle b \rangle$  if there are two families of orthogonal elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \langle a \rangle$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \langle b \rangle$  such that  $a_1 + \dots + a_n \lesssim b_1 + \dots + b_m$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Let  $q$  be a one-dimensional projection in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\bar{q} = q \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Given  $a \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  denote by  $s_n(a)$  the largest natural number  $s$  such that  $s\langle \bar{q} \rangle \lesssim n\langle a \rangle$ . Then  $s_n(a)$  has the following properties

- (a) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  and  $a \lesssim b$ , then  $s_n(a) \leq s_n(b)$ .
- (b) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  are orthogonal, then  $s_n(a + b) \geq s_n(a) + s_n(b)$ .
- (c) If  $a \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  is non-zero, then  $s_n(a) > 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

For the proof of (a) note that  $a \lesssim b$  implies  $n\langle a \rangle \lesssim n\langle b \rangle$  by 1.1. Property (b) is also a consequence of 1.1. To prove (c) note that  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  is algebraically simple and use [2, 1.1 IV] (if  $(\bar{q}/a) \leq n$ , then  $\langle \bar{q} \rangle \lesssim n\langle a \rangle$ ).

Since  $A$  is stably finite,  $k\langle \bar{q} \rangle \lesssim n\langle a \rangle$  ( $a \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  fixed) can not hold for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (note that for a projection  $p$  one has  $p \lesssim x$  if and only if  $p \lesssim x$  [2, 1.9] and use the equivalent definition of finiteness given in [2, 2.1] for  $M_k \otimes A$ ). Thus  $s_n(a)$  is finite for every  $a \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ .

Now, if  $a, b \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  are orthogonal and  $a + b \lesssim a$ , then  $s_n(a) + s_n(b) \leq s_n(a + b) \leq s_n(a)$ . Thus,  $s_n(b) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (c) this implies  $b = 0$ .

We define now an order on  $K_0^*(A)$ .

*Definition.* Given  $[a] - [b]$  and  $[c] - [d]$  in  $K_0^*(A)$  we write  $[a] - [b] \leq [c] - [d]$  if there is  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  such that  $a_1 + d_1 + x \lesssim c_1 + b_1 + x$  where  $a_1 \in [a]$ ,  $b_1 \in [b]$ ,  $c_1 \in [c]$ ,  $d_1 \in [d]$  are elements of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  which are pairwise orthogonal and orthogonal to  $x$ .

It is easily checked that  $\leq$  defines a translation-invariant partial order on  $K_0^*(A)$ , and that  $K_0^*(A)$  is upward directed with  $\leq$ . Hence  $K_0^*(A)$  becomes a directed ordered abelian group. By a strong unit in  $K_0^*(A)$  we mean an element  $u > 0$  such that, for every  $x$  in  $K_0^*(A)$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \leq nu$ .

**4.2. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite.*

- (1)  $[x] > 0$  for all non-zero  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ .
- (2) Every  $[x]$  ( $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ) is a strong unit in  $K_0^*(A)$ .

*Proof.* (1) We have  $[x] \geq 0$  by definition and  $[x] \neq 0$  by 4.1. (2) This follows from the simplicity of  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , if we use [2, 1.1 IV].

Following [5] we call an order preserving homomorphism  $f: K_0^*(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a functional. We say that  $f$  is normalized if  $f([p \otimes 1]) = 1$  for all one-dimensional projections  $p$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**4.3. Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite.*

- (1) If  $f$  is a normalized functional on  $K_0^*(A)$ , then the function  $D_f$  defined by  $D_f(x) = f([x])$  is a dimension function on  $A$ .

(2) If  $D$  is a dimension function on  $A$  then there is a unique normalized functional  $f$  on  $K_0^*(A)$  such that  $D = D_f$ .

*Proof.* Cf. the proof of 2.4 in [5].

All that remains now to do is to translate the results on functionals in [5] to propositions about dimension functions.

**4.4. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite. Then there is an upper dimension function  $D_-$  and a lower dimension function  $D_+$  on  $A$ , such that*

$$D_-(x) \leq D(x) \leq D_+(x) \quad (x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A)$$

for every dimension function  $D$  on  $A$ .

*Proof.* Set  $D_-(x) = f_*([x])$  and  $D_+(x) = f^*([x])$ , where

$$f_*(t) = \sup \{m/n \mid m \geq 0, n > 0, m[p \otimes 1] \leq nt\}$$

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{m/n \mid m, n > 0, m[p \otimes 1] \geq nt\}$$

are defined for  $t \geq 0$  in  $K_0^*(A)$  as in [5, 4.1] ( $p$  a one-dimensional projection in  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

**4.5. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite and let  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . If  $D_-(x) \leq r \leq D_+(x)$ , then there is a dimension function  $D$  on  $A$  such that  $D(x) = r$ .*

*Proof.* [5, 4.1(c)].

**4.6. Corollary.** *Let  $A$  be stably finite. The following are equivalent*

(1)  $A$  has a unique dimension function.

(2)  $D_- = D_+$ .

(3)  $D_-$  is a dimension function.

(4)  $D_+$  is a dimension function.

(5) *Let  $x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . There exists a rational number  $p \geq 1$  such that given any  $t \in K_0^*(A)^+$  and any  $j > 0$ , there is some  $n > 0$  for which  $np$  is an integer and either  $nt \leq np(j[x])$  or  $n(j[x]) \leq npt$ .*

*Proof.* [5, 4.2 and 4.3].

**4.7. Corollary.** *Let  $B$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. Then  $B$  is stably finite if and only if there is a dimension function on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from 4.5 and the first remark in Section 3.

**5.** Let  $A$  be a stably finite simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit. The functions  $D_-$ ,  $D_+$  give sharp bounds for all possible dimension functions on  $A$ . But they are difficult to calculate in concrete examples. We want to indicate (without proofs) in this section, how one can construct upper and lower dimension functions  $A_-$ ,  $A_+$  on  $A$  which give less sharp bounds for dimension functions on  $A$  but which are more closely related to  $A$  and more easily computed (they can be computed for simple  $AF$ -algebras).

Given  $x, y \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , as in 4.1 we write  $n\langle x \rangle \lesssim m\langle y \rangle$  if there are orthogonal elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \langle x \rangle$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \langle y \rangle$  such that  $x_1 + \dots + x_n \lesssim y_1 + \dots + y_m$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Let  $q$  be a one-dimensional projection in  $\mathcal{F}$  and set  $\bar{q} = q \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . We put, given  $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$

$$s_n(x) = \sup \{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s\langle \bar{q} \rangle \lesssim n\langle x \rangle\}$$

$$r_n(x) = \inf \{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid r\langle \bar{q} \rangle \gtrsim n\langle x \rangle\}.$$

Then the limits

$$\Lambda_-(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x)/n$$

$$\Lambda_+(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(x)/n$$

exist and are finite.  $\Lambda_-$  defines an upper dimension function and  $\Lambda_+$  a lower dimension function such that

$$0 < \Lambda_-(x) \leq D_-(x) \leq D_+(x) \leq \Lambda_+(x)$$

for all  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Thus in particular  $\Lambda_-(x) \leq \bar{R}_\tau(x) \leq \Lambda_+(x)$  ( $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ) for every finite trace  $\tau$  on  $A$ .

Furthermore  $\Lambda_-$  is lower semi-continuous and if  $A$  is factorial in the sense of [2] then the restrictions of  $\Lambda_-$  and  $\Lambda_+$  to  $A$  coincide with the function  $\lambda$  constructed in [2]. This shows that  $\lambda$  is a lower semi-continuous rank function.

**6.** We used the group  $K_0^*(A)$  mainly as a technical device in order to prove the existence of dimension functions on stably finite algebras. On the other hand  $K_0^*(A)$  is of course an algebraic invariant for  $A$ . We do not know if  $K_0^*(A)$  will turn out to be as useful for the classification problem for simple  $C^*$ -algebras, as  $K_0$  is in fact for the special class of  $AF$ -algebras [4].

In the following we discuss some relations between  $K_0$  and  $K_0^*$ . If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra then  $K_0(A)$  is the abelian group generated by all equivalence classes (with respect to Murray-von Neumann equivalence)  $\langle p \rangle_0$  of projections  $p$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$  with relations  $\langle p \rangle_0 + \langle q \rangle_0 = \langle p_1 + q_1 \rangle_0$  ( $p_1 \in \langle p \rangle_0$ ,  $q_1 \in \langle q \rangle_0$ ,  $p_1 \perp q_1$ ). Of course, if two projections  $p$  and  $q$  are equivalent in the sense of Murray-von Neumann (via a partial isometry) in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ , then also  $p \equiv q$  in  $\mathcal{F} \otimes A$ . Therefore there is a homomorphism  $\Phi: K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0^*(A)$  such that  $\Phi([p]_0) = [p]$  where  $[p]_0$  denotes the image of the projection  $p \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$  in  $K_0$ .

D. Handelman (private communication) has shown that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism if  $A$  is a von Neumann-algebra.

For (infinite-dimensional)  $AF$ -algebras the situation is totally different. Consider for instance a  $UHF$ -algebra with dimension function  $\bar{R}_\tau$  induced by the trace  $\tau$ . If  $x \in A$  is such that  $\bar{R}_\tau(x)$  is irrational, then  $[x] \neq [p]$  in  $K_0^*(A)$  for every projection  $p$  in  $A$ , since for a projection the value  $\bar{R}_\tau(p)$  must be rational. While  $\Phi$  is injective for  $UHF$ -algebras this is not true for the algebra constructed by Elliott in [4, 6.5]. This algebra is factorial in the sense of [2] and contains two non-zero projections having the same trace which are not comparable in  $K_0$  (i.e. in the sense of Murray and von Neumann). In particular, these projections have distinct



images in  $K_0$ . On the other hand it can be shown that their images in  $K_0^*$  coincide. In fact, we have the following general result. If  $A$  is a factorial  $AF$ -algebra in the sense of [2] with canonical dimension function  $\lambda$  then the mapping  $[x] \rightarrow \lambda(x)$  ( $x \in \mathcal{F} \otimes A$ ) extends to an isomorphism of ordered groups from  $K_0^*(A)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$  with the natural order. This follows almost immediately from [2, 3.7].

For  $AF$ -algebras there is a bijective relation between traces, dimension functions, order preserving homomorphisms from  $K_0^*$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and order preserving homomorphisms from  $K_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since there are simple  $AF$ -algebras with many different order preserving homomorphisms from  $K_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , this class also provides examples of algebras with many different dimension functions.

## References

1. Bauer, H.: *Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Grundzüge der Maßtheorie*. 2. Auflage. Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter 1974
2. Cuntz, J.: The structure of multiplication and addition in simple  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Scand.* **40**, 215—233 (1977)
3. Cuntz, J.: Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries. *Commun. math. Phys.* (to appear)
4. Elliott, G. A.: On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras. *J. Algebra* **38**, 29—44 (1976)
5. Goodearl, K. R., Handelman, D.: Rank functions and  $K_0$  of regular rings. *J. Pure and appl. Algebra* **7**, 195—216 (1976)
6. Milnor, J.: *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. J. Princeton: Princeton University Press 1971
7. Murray, F. J., von Neumann, J.: On rings of operators. *Ann. of Math.* **37**, 116—229 (1936)

Received May 20, 1977, and in revised form September 12, 1977