

Theorem (Ben Yaacov - Tsankov)

Let $G = \text{Aut}(M)$ for M an \mathcal{R}_0 -categorical structure TFAE

- 1) $\text{Th}(M)$ is stable
- 2) $R(G) = W(G)$, that is, every Roelcke uniformly continuous function on G is weakly almost periodic

Polish G , fix a compatible left-invariant metric d_L . We also get the right-invariant $d_R(f, g) = d_L(f^{-1}, g^{-1})$. We define the Roelcke metric by

$$d_{L \wedge R}(g, h) = \inf_{f \in G} \max \{ d_R(g, f), d_L(f, h) \}$$

left uniformity is generated by $U_L = \{ (h, f) \in G^2 : h^{-1}f \in U \}$ as U varies / nbhds of 1 in G

Similarly for the right uniformity $U_R = \{ (h, f) \in G^2 : hf^{-1} \in U \}$ — // —

and the Roelcke uniformity $U_{L \wedge R} = \{ (h, f) \in G^2 : h \in UfU \}$ — // —

• A collection $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X^2)$ is called the uniformity if:

1) $\Delta_x \in \mathcal{U} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}$
diagonal

2) $\forall u \in \mathcal{U} \exists v \in \mathcal{U} \text{ s.t. } v^2 \subseteq u$

3) $\forall u \in \mathcal{U} \exists v \supseteq u, v \in \mathcal{U}$

4) $u, v \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow u \cap v \in \mathcal{U}$

→ In a metric space (X, d) one can take
 $U_\varepsilon = \{ (x, y) : d(x, y) < \varepsilon \}, \varepsilon > 0$

Roselcke completion:

$$(\hat{G}, \hat{d}_{L \wedge R})$$

G is called Roselcke precompact if its Roselcke compl. is compact.

Equivalently, $\forall u$ open nbhd of 1
 \exists finite set $F \subseteq G$ s.t. $G = uFu$.

• Let (\hat{G}, \hat{d}_L) be the left-completion of G .
Whenever $G \simeq (X, d)$ by isometries,

the map $d: G \times X \rightarrow X$ extends to a map

$\hat{d}: \hat{G} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ (for every $g \in \hat{G}_L$ the

$x \rightarrow g \cdot x$ extends to an isometric embedding).

In particular, when $G \curvearrowright (X, d)$ by left-translations we get a map $\hat{G}_L \times \hat{G}_L \rightarrow \hat{G}_L$,

^{which}
determines a semigroup structure on G_L .

Def Whenever $G \curvearrowright (X, d)$ acts by isometries, for $x \in X$ we let

$[x]$ denote the closure of the orbit of x .

We equip $X // G = \{ [x] : x \in X \}$

with the metric

$$d([x], [y]) = \inf \{ d(u, v) : u \in [x], v \in [y] \}$$

Def We say that $G \curvearrowright (X, d)$ is approximately oligomorphic if for

every n , $X^n // G$ is compact.

Fact If (X, d) is complete ^{then} $G \curvearrowright (X, d)$ is approximately oligomorphic iff

$X^{\mathbb{N}} // G$ is compact

(idea: $X^{\mathbb{N}} // G = \varprojlim X^n // G$)

Lemma Let X be a separable complete metric space and $\{z \in X^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ enumerating a dense subset. Let $G \leq \text{Iso}(X)$ be a closed subgroup and let $\Xi = [z]$ (orbit in $X^{\mathbb{N}}$).

Then $d_L(g, h) = d(gz, hz)$ is a compatible left-invariant metric on G and the map $(\hat{G}_L, \hat{d}_L) \rightarrow \Xi$ is an isometric bijection.

The diagonal action $\hat{G}_L \curvearrowright X^{\mathbb{N}}$ (restricted to Ξ) coincides with multiplication in \hat{G}_L .

• Therefore we have

$$R(G) := \hat{G}_L^2 // G$$

(we have $G \curvearrowright \hat{G}_L$ and act diagonally)

$(G, d_{Lne}) \rightarrow R(G)$ given by

$g \mapsto [1_G, g] = [g^{-1}, 1_G]$ is an isometric

embedding, so $R(G)$ is the

Roelcke completion.

Continuous logic

- A structure is a complete bounded metric space (X, d) with predicates $p_i : M^k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that are uniformly continuous.
- Formulas :
 - Atomic formulas
 - If $u : [0, 1]^m \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ are formulas, so is $u(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$
 - if φ is a formula, $\inf_x \varphi$, $\sup_x \varphi$ are formulas
- We say that M is \mathcal{L}_c -categorical if M is separable and $\text{Th}(M)$ has unique model up to isomorphism.
- $\text{Aut}(M)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M)$ consisting of isometries that preserve the predicates (i.e. $p_i(g \cdot \bar{a}) = p_i(\bar{a}) \quad \forall g \in \text{Aut}(M) \quad \bar{a} \in M^k$)

- There is a continuous version of Ryll-Nardzewski : TFAE
 - $\text{Th}(M)$ is \aleph_0 -categorical
 - $\text{Aut}(M) \curvearrowright M$ is approximately oligomorphic

Theorem

For a Polish G TFAE:

1) G is Roelcke precompact

2) Whenever $G \curvearrowright X$ and $G \curvearrowright Y$
(X, Y - complete)

$X//G, Y//G$ compact $\Rightarrow X \times Y // G$ compact

3) Whenever $G \curvearrowright X$ st. $X//G$ compact, then $G \curvearrowright X$ approximately oligomorphic.

4) There exists a (separable, complete) X ,
a homeomorphic embedding $G \hookrightarrow \text{Iso}(X)$
st. $G \curvearrowright X$ is approx. oligomorphic.

$$G \curvearrowright M \quad \longrightarrow \quad \hat{G}_L \curvearrowright M$$

Every $x \in \hat{G}_L$ induces an elementary embedding $x: M \preceq M$.

Fix M \mathcal{A} -categorical

Identify \widehat{G}_c with $\Xi = [\xi] \in M^M$ enumerating
a dense subset of M

Now $R(G) \cong \Xi^2 / G$ we can identify

$[x, y] \in R(G)$ with $\text{tp}(x, y)$ (on $\text{tp}(x(M), y(M))$)

Note: If $\text{Im}(x) = \text{Im}(y)$ then $x^{-1}y \in \text{Aut}(M)$

$R(G) = \{ \text{tp}(x(M), y(M)) \mid x, y : M \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} M \}$

Examples

① \mathbb{N} $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}) = S_\infty$ What is $R(S_\infty)$?

$x, y : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ injections

$x(n) = y(m)$ or $x(n) \neq y(m)$ $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

So we can identify $\text{tp}(x, y)$ with
the partial bijection $x^{-1}y$

We get

$R(S_\infty) = \{ \text{partial bijections} \}$

② (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) rationals $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, \leq) \ni x, y$

$t_p(x, y)$ is giving linear order on $x(\mathbb{Q}) \cup y(\mathbb{Q})$

$$R(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}}$$

$$\alpha(q, p) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x(q) < y(p) \\ 0 & \text{if } x(q) = y(p) \\ 1 & \text{if } x(q) > y(p) \end{cases}$$

③ H separable Hilbert space

$$U(H) = \text{Aut}(H)$$

$$\text{But } H //_{U(H)} \cong [0, \infty)$$

Let's consider instead $U(H) \curvearrowright S(H)$

Note $S(H) //_{U(H)} \cong \{*\}$ \uparrow
the unit sphere

$R(U(H)) =$ "pairs of embeddings"

For $x, y: H \rightarrow H$ we look at $\langle x(\xi), y(\eta) \rangle \forall \xi, \eta \in H$

So $p \in R(U(H))$ determines a bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle_p$

satisfying $|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_p| \leq 1$ on $S(H)$. But this is

the same as determining a linear contraction T_p

$$\text{by } \langle T_p \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_p \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in H$$

$$R(U(H)) = B(H)_1$$