# Pseudofinite dimension and measure in pseudofinite fields

### Martin Bays

### 19.05.2021

## Contents

1	Preliminaries   1.1 Notation   1.2 Pseudofinite cardinality	<b>1</b> 1 1
<b>2</b>	Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre	1
	2.1 Finitary version $\ldots$	1
	2.2 Asymptotic version	1
	2.3 Pseudofinite version	1
	2.4 "Local" version	1
3	Proof	1
	3.1 Case 1: $\phi(F,b) = V(F)$ , V absolutely irreducible.	1
	3.2 Case 2: $\phi(F,b) = V(F)$	1
	3.3 Case 3: $\phi(F,b) = X(F), X$ constructible	1
	3.4 Case 4: $\phi(F,b) = X(F)$ arbitrary	1

#### **Preliminaries** 1

### 1.1 Notation

- $\mathcal{L} := \{+, \cdot\}.$
- $x, y, z, a, b, c, \ldots$  denote tuples.
- |x| denotes the length of the tuple x.
- $M^x := M^{|x|}$ .

#### Pseudofinite cardinality 1.2

**Definition 1.1.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter on a set I and  $(X_i)_{i \in I}$  are finite sets, we define the **pseudofinite cardinality** of the ultraproduct by

$$\left|\prod_{i \to \mathcal{U}} X_i\right| := \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} |X_i| \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{M}_i$  are finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures and  $\phi(x, y)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula, and  $b_i \in$  $(\mathcal{M}_i)^y$ , then

$$\left|\phi(\prod_{i \to \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i, \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} b_i)\right| = \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} |\phi(\mathcal{M}_i, b_i)|.$$

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre

#### $\mathbf{2.1}$ **Finitary version**

**Theorem 2.1** (Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre). Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. Then there are

- $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,
- $G \subseteq_{\text{fin}} (\{0, \ldots, |x|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \cup \{(0, 0)\}, and$
- $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas  $(\theta_{\phi,d,m}(y))_{(d,m)\in G}$ ,

such that for any finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ,

- $\mathbb{F}_q \vDash \forall y. \bigvee_{(d,m) \in G} \theta_{\phi,d,m}(y)$
- for each  $(d,m) \in G$ , for  $b \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^y$ ,

$$\mathbb{F}_q \models \theta_{\phi,d,m}(b) \Leftrightarrow \left| |\phi(\mathbb{F}_q,b)| - mq^d \right| \le Cq^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

#### Asymptotic version 2.2

To prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove it with "for any finite field" replaced by "for all sufficiently large finite fields". Indeed, we can then increase C and modify the  $\theta_{\phi,d,m}$  to handle the finitely many remaining finite fields.

Explicitly: to deal with fields of size  $\leq q_0$ , set

- $C' := \max(C, q_0^{|x|+\frac{1}{2}} + 1), \text{ so } |\phi(\mathbb{F}_{q_0}, b)| \le q_0^{|x|} \le C' q_0^{-\frac{1}{2}};$
- $\theta'_{\phi,0,0}(y) := \theta_{\phi,0,0}(y) \lor \exists^{\leq q_0} x. \ x = x;$
- $\theta'_{\phi,d,m}(y) := \exists^{>q_0} x. \ x = x \land \theta_{\phi,d,m}(y) \ for \ (d,m) \neq (0,0).$

#### **Pseudofinite version** 2.3

By Loś, this asymptotic statement is equivalent to the following, which we will prove using the model theory of pseudofinite fields.

**Theorem 2.2** (CDM, pseudofinite version). Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula.

- Then there are
- $C \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- $G \subseteq_{\text{fin}} (\{0, \ldots, |x|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}) \cup \{(0, 0)\}, and$
- $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas  $(\theta_{\phi,d,m}(y))_{(d,m)\in G}$ ,

such that for any infinite ultraproduct of finite fields F,

- $F \vDash \forall y. \bigvee_{(d,m) \in G} \theta_{\phi,d,m}(y)$
- for each  $(d,m) \in G$ , for  $b \in F^y$ ,

$$F \vDash \theta_{\phi,d,m}(b) \iff \left| |\phi(F,b)| - m|F|^d \right| \le C|F|^{d - \frac{1}{2}}$$

*Remark.* Given  $b \in F^y$ , we can recover the corresponding d, m from  $N := |\phi(F, b)|$  as

$$d = \operatorname{st}(\log_{|F|}(N)); \ m = \operatorname{st}\left(\frac{N}{|F|^d}\right)$$

Hence the  $\theta_{\phi,d,m}(y)$  are pairwise inconsistent, so form a partition. *Remark.* In fact  $d = \dim(\phi(F, b)^{\text{Zar}})$ . We will see that this falls out of the proof.

Remark.  $|\phi(F,b)| < (m+1)|F|^d$  since  $|F|^{\frac{1}{2}} > \mathbb{R} \ni C$ . *Remark.* Let  $F \vDash Psf$  and  $b \in F^y$ .

If F is not an ultraproduct of finite fields, then |F| and  $|\phi(F, b)|$  are undefined. But F is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite fields,

so  $b \models \theta_{\phi,d,m}(y)$  for some unique  $(d,m) \in G$ ,

so this assigns a well-defined dimension and measure to  $\phi(F, b)$ .

#### $\mathbf{2.4}$ "Local" version

Since an ultraproduct of ultraproducts of finite fields is an ultraproduct of finite fields, Theorem 2.2 is in turn equivalent to:

**Theorem 2.3** (CDM, local version). Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula.

Let F be an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields, and let  $b \in F$ . Then there are

- $(C, d, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\{0, \dots, |x|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{(0, 0)\})$  and
- an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\theta(y) \ni \operatorname{tp}^F(b)$  such that:

for any infinite ultraproduct of finite fields F' and any  $b' \in F'$ , (\*)  $F' \models \theta(b') \implies ||\phi(F',b')| - m|F'|^d| \le C|F'|^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

Sketch proof of Theorem 2.2 from Theorem 2.3.

- Let  $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \{0, \dots, |x|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{(0, 0)\} \times \mathcal{L}$  be the set of 4-tuples  $(C, d, m, \theta)$ satisfying (\*).
- Theorem 2.2 asserts that for some finite subset of  $\Xi$ , the corresponding  $\theta$  cover any F. (We use here the disjointness of the  $\theta$  for distinct pairs (d, m).)
- If not, taking an ultraproduct over finite subsets of  $\Xi$  of counterexamples  $b \in F$ , we obtain  $b^* \in F^*$  for which  $F^* \models \neg \theta(b^*)$  for any  $(C, d, m, \theta) \in \Xi$ , contradicting Theorem 2.3.

### 

#### Proof 3

We prove Theorem 2.3. So let  $\phi(x, y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula.

Let F be an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields, and let  $b \in F^y$ .

We consider a series of increasingly complicated cases for the definable set  $\phi(F, b)$ , and in each case we find  $C, d, m, \theta$  as required.

#### Case 1: $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$ , V absolutely irreducible. 3.1

**Fact 3.1.** Let  $\overline{f}(x,y) = (f_i(x,y))_{i < m}$  be polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a quantifier-free ring formula  $A_{\overline{f},d}(y)$  such that for any field F and  $b \in F^y$ ,

$$F \vDash A_{\overline{f},d}(b) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}(\overline{f}(x,b)))$$
 is absolutely irreducible of dimension d.

**Fact 3.2** (Lang-Weil). There is a function  $C_{LW} : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field and W is an absolutely irreducible variety defined by polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_n]_{\leq D}$ ,

$$\left| |W(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{\dim(W)} \right| \le C_{LW}(n, D) q^{\dim(W) - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

We deduce:

**Lemma 3.3.** If  $F = \prod_{i \to U} \mathbb{F}_{q_i}$  is an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields and W is an absolutely irreducible variety defined by polynomials in  $F[X_1, \ldots, X_n]_{\leq D}$ ,

$$\left| |W(F)| - |F|^{\dim(W)} \right| \le C_{LW}(n, D) |F|^{\dim(W) - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof.

$$TT = N \sqrt{\frac{2}{6}} (1) + 1 = 6 = 77 (1) + 1 = 1$$

- Say  $W = \mathcal{V}(f(x, c))$  where  $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}(x, y)$  and  $c = \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} c_i \in F^y$ .
- Let  $d := \dim W$ .
- By Łoś, the following holds for  $\mathcal{U}$ -many *i*:
  - $\mathbb{F}_{q_i} \models A_{\overline{f},d}(c_i)$ ; hence
  - $W_i := \mathcal{V}(\overline{f}(x, c_i))$  is absolutely irreducible of dimension d; hence
  - $\left| |W_i(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})| q_i^{\dim(W)} \right| \le C_{LW}(n, D) q_i^{\dim(W) \frac{1}{2}}.$
- We conclude since  $|W(F)| = \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} |W_i(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})|$  and  $|F| = \lim_{i \to \mathcal{U}} q_i$ .

Now suppose  $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$  for an absolutely irreducible variety  $V = \mathcal{V}(\overline{f}(x, c))$ where  $f_i(x, y)$  has degree  $\leq D$  in x and  $c \in F^y$ .

- Then we can take:
- $C := C_{LW}(|x|, D),$
- $d := \dim(V)$ ,
- m := 1,
- $\theta(y) := \exists z. \ (A_{\overline{f},d}(z) \land \forall x. \ (\phi(x,y) \leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{V}(\overline{f}(x,z)))).$

**Case 2:**  $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$ 3.2

- Suppose  $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$  where V is a Zariski-closed set.
- Replacing V with the Zariski closure of V(F), we may assume that V(F) is Zariski dense in V.
- V has an irreducible decomposition  $V = \bigcup_{i < n} V_i$  where  $V_i$  is an absolutely irreducible variety, and  $V_i \not\subseteq V_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .
- We show that our estimate on |V(F)| holds with

$$d := \dim V = \max_{i} \dim(V_{i})$$
$$m := |\{i : \dim(V_{i}) = d\}|.$$

- Since  $V(F) = \bigcup_i V_i(F)$  is Zariski-dense in V, also  $V_i(F)$  is Zariski-dense in  $V_i$ for all i.
- So each  $V_i$  is F-invariant, and F is perfect, so each  $V_i$  is defined over F.
- Any intersection of two or more of the  $V_i$  has dimension < d.
- By inclusion-exclusion,

$$|\phi(F,b)| = \left|\bigcup_{i} V_i(F)\right| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq n} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left|\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i\right)(F)\right| = \sum_{i} |V_i(F)| + \dots$$

• Applying Case 1 to the  $V_i$ , and inductively applying the present case to the lower dimensional intersections, we conclude:

$$\phi(F,b) - m|F|^d \Big| \le \sum_{i < m} C_i |F|^{d-\frac{1}{2}} + C'|F|^{d-1} \le C|F|^{d-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $C' \in \mathbb{R}$  is large enough to bound the terms in the inclusion-exclusion formula arising from the finitely many lower dimensional  $V_i$  and the intersections of two or more  $V_i$ , and setting  $C := \sum_{i < m} C_i + 1$  (and using  $|F|^{\frac{1}{2}} > \mathbb{R} \ni C'$ ).

• Set

$$\theta(y) := \exists y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}. ((\forall x. \phi(x, y) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i < n} \psi_i(x, y_i)))$$
$$\land \bigwedge_i \theta_i(y_i)$$
$$\land \bigwedge_{I \subseteq n, |I| \ge 2} \theta_I((y_i)_{i \in I})),$$

where

- $-V_i(F) = \psi_i(F, b_i),$
- $-\theta_i$  are as in Case 1 for  $\psi_i$ ,
- $-\theta_I$  are obtained by inductive application of the present case to  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \psi_i(x, y_i)$ .
- Note that we do have  $d = \dim(\phi(F, b)^{\text{Zar}})$  in this case.

#### 3.3Case 3: $\phi(F,b) = X(F), X$ constructible

(Constructible means: boolean combination of Zariski-closed.)

- Intersecting with  $X(F)^{\text{Zar}}$ , we can assume X(F) is Zariski-dense in X.
- We can write X as a disjoint union  $X = \bigcup_i V_i \setminus W_i$  where  $V_i$  is absolutely irreducible and  $W_i \subsetneq V_i$  is a proper closed subset.
- Set  $d := \max_i \dim(V_i) = \dim(X(F)^{\operatorname{Zar}})$  and  $m := |\{i : \dim(V_i) = d\}|.$

$$|X(F)| = \sum_{i} (|V_i(F)| - |W_i(F)|),$$

so the estimate follows by applying Case 2 to each  $V_i$  and  $W_i$ , using dim $(W_i) < d$  and the Zariski density of  $V_i(F)$  in  $V_i$ .

(Note: we could alternatively use the Rabinovich trick here.)

(Alternative alternative (thanks to Martin Hils for suggesting this): note that  $X^{\operatorname{Zar}} \setminus X$  is constructible of lower dimension; use this case inductively to handle it, and Case 2 for  $X^{\text{Zar}}$  (in which F-points are Zariski-dense).)

•  $\theta$  expresses that this decomposition and the estimates for the various terms hold.

#### $\mathbf{3.4}$ Case 4: $\phi(F, b) = X(F)$ arbitrary

- Recall: there exists a Zariski-closed set V over F and a co-ordinate projection  $\pi$  such that  $\pi_F := \pi|_{V(F)} : V(F) \twoheadrightarrow X(F)$  is surjective with boundedly finite fibres.
- So we have a definable partition  $X(F) = \bigcup_{1 \le n \le M} X_n(F)$  where

$$X_n(F) := \{ a \in X(F) : |\pi_F^{-1}(a)| = n \}.$$

- We may assume that V(F) is Zariski-dense in V.
- Consider the constructible sets

$$W_k := \{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i \in V, \ \pi(x_i) = \pi(x_j), \ x_i \neq x_j \ (\forall i \neq j) \} \subseteq V^k,$$

and maps

$$\pi_{k,F}: W_k(F) \to X(F); \ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \pi(x_1).$$

• For  $a \in X_n(F)$  and  $1 \le n, k \le M$ ,

$$|\pi_{k,F}^{-1}(a)| = P_{kn} = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} & (k \le n) \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases}$$

• Now the matrix P is lower triangular, and non-zero on the diagonal, so P has an inverse  $P^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_M(\mathbb{Q})$ .

$$W_k(F)| = \sum_{1 \le n \le M} P_{kn} |X_n(F)|,$$

hence

$$|X_n(F)| = \sum_{1 \le k \le M} (P^{-1})_{nk} |W_k(F)|.$$

• Now

$$X(F)| = \sum_{1 \le n \le M} |X_n(F)|.$$

By Case 3 we can estimate  $|W_k(F)|$  with  $(d_k, m_k)$  say, so we obtain our estimate for |X(F)| with

$$d := \max_i d_i$$
$$m := \sum_{\{(n,k): d_k = d\}} (P^{-1})_{nk} m_k \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

- $\theta(y)$  expresses that  $\phi(F, y)$  is a projection of  $V^y(F)$  with fibres bounded by M, and the estimate works for the corresponding  $|W_k^y(F)|$  (which we can express by Case 3).
- To see  $d = \dim(X(F)^{\operatorname{Zar}})$ :
  - Recall  $V = V(F)^{\text{Zar}}$ .
  - From the way V was obtained,  $\pi: V \to X(F)^{\text{Zar}}$  has finite fibres on X(F), so also each  $\pi_k : W_k \to X(F)^{\text{Zar}}$  has generically finite fibres.
  - Then  $d_1 = \dim(V) = \dim(X(F)^{\operatorname{Zar}})$  and  $d_k \leq \dim(W_k) \leq \dim(X(F)^{\operatorname{Zar}})$ .
  - So  $d = \dim(X(F)^{\operatorname{Zar}})$ .