## 1 PURE IMAGINARIES

Notes from a seminar in Münster on [Hrushovski-Rideau "Valued Fields, Metastable Groups"], Nov 2019.

Work in  $\mathbb{U} \models ACVF$  sufficiently saturated, in geometric language (sorts  $K, \Gamma, k, S_n, T_n$ ). Definable means definable over a small subset of  $\mathbb{U}$ . We write dcl resp. acl for dcl<sup>eq</sup> resp. acl<sup>eq</sup>.

## **1** Pure imaginaries

**Definition 1.1.**  $e \in \mathbb{U}^{eq}$  is **purely imaginary** over  $C \subseteq \mathbb{U}$  if  $\operatorname{acl}(Ce) \cap K = \operatorname{acl}(C) \cap K$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *e is purely imaginary over* C *iff*  $dcl(Ce) \cap K \subseteq acl(C)$ .

*Proof.* Symmetric polynomials.

STS  $\operatorname{acl}(Ce) \cap K \subseteq \operatorname{acl}(\operatorname{dcl}(Ce) \cap K).$ 

But if  $a \in \operatorname{acl}(Ce) \cap K$ , say  $a = a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are the conjugates over Ce, then the coefficients of  $\prod_i (x - a_i)$  are in  $\operatorname{dcl}(Ce) \cap K$ .

**Definition 1.3.** An  $\infty$ -definable set D is **purely imaginary** if there is no definable map  $D \to K$  with infinite image.

Equivalently: for any C over which D is defined, any  $e \in D$  is purely imaginary over C.

**Definition 1.4.** A  $\infty$ -definable set D is **boundedly imaginary** if any definable map  $D \to \Gamma$  is bounded.

Equivalently: for any C over which D is defined, any  $e \in D$  is boundedly imaginary over C, where  $e \in \mathbb{U}^{eq}$  is **boundedly imaginary** over  $C \subseteq \mathbb{U}$  if for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma(Ce) := \Gamma \cap \operatorname{dcl}(Ce)$ ,  $\operatorname{tp}(\gamma/C)$  is bounded (i.e. not  $+\infty$  or  $-\infty$ , i.e.  $\gamma$  is in the convex hull of  $\Gamma(C)$ ).

Lemma 1.5. Any boundedly imaginary D is purely imaginary.

*Proof.* If the image of  $D \to K$  is infinite, it contains a ball B. But for  $b \in B$ ,  $x \mapsto v(x-b)$  is an unbounded map  $B \to \Gamma$ .

Define  $\alpha \mathcal{O} := \{x \in K : v(x) \ge \alpha\}$  and  $\beta \mathfrak{m} := \{x \in K : v(x) > \beta\}.$ 

**Lemma 1.6.** Let X be the set of closed or open balls of a fixed radius  $\alpha$ , i.e.  $X = K/\alpha \mathcal{O}$  or  $X = K/\alpha \mathfrak{m}$ .

There is no definable finite correspondence  $\Gamma \to X$  with infinite image, i.e. for any definable  $Z \subseteq \Gamma \times X$  with  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ .  $|\pi_1^{-1}(\gamma) \cap Z| < \aleph_0, |\pi_2(Z)| < \aleph_0.$ 

*Proof.* Else, by Swiss cheese decomposition applied to the union of the balls,  $\pi_2(Z)$  contains all but finitely many of the balls within a closed ball B of some radius  $\gamma \leq \alpha$ , with  $\gamma < \alpha$  in the case  $X = K/\alpha \mathcal{O}$ .

Then Z induces a finite correspondence from  $\Gamma$  onto  $B/\gamma \mathfrak{m}$ , and hence onto an infinite subset of k. By definable Skolem functions for  $\Gamma$ , this yields a definable partial function  $k \to \Gamma$  with infinite image, contradicting strong minimality of k.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $(\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})^n$  is boundedly imaginary, and hence purely imaginary.

Proof.  $\underline{n} = \underline{1}$ : we may assume  $\alpha = 0$ . Suppose  $f : \mathcal{O}/\beta\mathfrak{m} \to \Gamma$  is an unbounded C-definable map. Say it is unbounded above. Let  $\gamma > \Gamma(C)$ . Let  $g : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/\beta\mathfrak{m}$  be the quotient map. Then  $g^{-1}(f^{-1}(\gamma))$  is a boolean combination of balls each of radius in  $[0,\beta] \cap \Gamma(C\gamma)$ . Now  $\Gamma$  is a pure divisible ordered abelian group, so any definable map from  $\Gamma$  to the bounded interval  $[0,\beta]$  is eventually constant, so  $[0,\beta] \cap \Gamma(C\gamma) \subseteq \Gamma(C)$ .

But the balls vary with  $\gamma$  since f is a function, so we contradict Lemma 1.6.  $\underline{n = k + 1}$ : Given  $f : (\alpha \mathcal{O} / \beta \mathfrak{m})^{k+1} \to \Gamma$ , inductively  $y \mapsto \sup_{\overline{x}} f(\overline{x}, y)$  defines a map  $\alpha \mathcal{O} / \beta \mathfrak{m} \to \Gamma$ , so this map is bounded, and hence so is f.

**Lemma 1.8.**  $e \in \mathbb{U}^{eq}$  is purely imaginary over C iff for some  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(Ce), e \in dcl(acl(C), \alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m}).$ 

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ : Immediate from Lemma 1.7.

⇒: By the EI and the definition of purely imaginary, e is interdefinable with a finite tuple from  $\bigcup_n S_n \cup \bigcup_n T_n \cup (\operatorname{acl}(C) \cap K)$ .

Note that  $K \ni x \mapsto \min(\beta, v(x))$  induces a map  $\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m} \twoheadrightarrow [\alpha, \beta]$ , so  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq dcl(\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})$ . Hence if  $\alpha \leq \alpha' < 0 < \beta' \leq \beta$  then  $\alpha' \mathcal{O}/\beta' \mathfrak{m} \subseteq dcl(\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})$ .

So it suffices to consider the case  $e \in S_n$  or  $e \in T_n$  for some n.

Let  $\Lambda \leq K^n$  be a rank *n* free  $\mathcal{O}$ -submodule, say with  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ . Let  $\Lambda^- := \{\alpha : \Lambda \subseteq (\alpha \mathcal{O})^n\}$  and  $\Lambda^+ := \{\beta : (\beta \mathfrak{m})^n \subseteq \Lambda\}$ . Note  $\sup \Lambda^- = \min_{i,j} v((\lambda_i)_j) \in \Lambda^-$ .

Let  $\alpha = \min\{0, \sup \Lambda^-\} \in \Lambda^-$ , and  $\beta = \max\{0, \inf \Lambda^+\} \in \Lambda^+$ .

Then  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(Ce)$  and  $(\beta \mathfrak{m})^n \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq (\alpha \mathcal{O})^n$ . then  $S_n \ni \Gamma \Lambda^{\neg} \in \operatorname{dcl}((\lambda_i/\beta \mathfrak{m})_i) \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m}).$ 

Also  $\beta \mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \Lambda$  (since  $\mathfrak{m}(\beta \mathfrak{m}) = \beta \mathfrak{m}$ ), so  $T_n \supseteq \Lambda/\mathfrak{m} \Lambda \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})$ . Since  $\Lambda$  was arbitrary, we conclude.

Remark 1.9. It follows from the proof that each  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  is purely imaginary.

**Lemma 1.10.** Let D be a  $\infty$ -definable set over C. TFAE:

- (1) D is boundedly imaginary.
- (2) There exists a definable surjection  $g: (\mathcal{O}/\beta\mathfrak{m})^n \twoheadrightarrow D$ .

(3) For some  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta$  with  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(C), D \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(\operatorname{acl}(C), \alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m}).$ 

NOTE: the paper has C rather than  $\operatorname{acl}(C)$ , but I don't see how to get that. This statement is good enough for the application in Corollary 6.4.

*Proof.*  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : by Lemma 1.7.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): by compactness, we get finitely many  $g_i : (\alpha_i \mathcal{O}/\beta_i \mathfrak{m})^{n_i} \to D$  with  $D = \bigcup_i \operatorname{im} g_i$ . We can assume  $\alpha_i = 0$  by multiplying, and we can assume  $\beta_i = \max_i \beta_i =: \beta$ , and then combine the  $g_i$  into a surjection  $g : (\mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})^{\sum_i n_i} \to D$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): By compactness, it suffices to show that if  $e \in D$ , then such  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(C)$  exist with  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}(C, \alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m})$ .

Now D is purely imaginary by Lemma 1.5, so by Lemma 1.8 we can find such  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(Ce)$ .

Say  $\alpha = f(e)$ , where f is over C. Since D is boundedly imaginary,  $\alpha \ge \inf_{D \cap \operatorname{dom} f} f =: \alpha' \in \Gamma(C)$ . Similarly we find  $\beta \le \beta' \in \Gamma(C)$ .

Then  $\alpha \mathcal{O}/\beta \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(\alpha' \mathcal{O}/\beta' \mathfrak{m})$ , so  $e \in \operatorname{dcl}(\operatorname{acl}(C), \alpha' \mathcal{O}/\beta' \mathfrak{m})$  as required.  $\Box$ 

Remark 1.11. Martin Hils remarks that in Lemma 1.8 we can equivalently ask just for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  rather than require them in  $\Gamma(Ce)$ . From this one can conclude that  $\infty$ -definable D is purely imaginary iff there is a definable surjection f:  $(B^{\text{open}})^n \twoheadrightarrow D$  where  $B^{\text{open}}$  is the set of all open balls (of all radii).

Then it follows from this and Lemma 1.10, that if D is  $\infty$ -definable and purely resp. boundedly imaginary, it is contained in a purely resp. boundedly imaginary definable set.

## 2 Redundant

Alternative direct proof of Remark 1.9:

**Lemma 2.1.** Any product of  $S_n$ 's and  $T_n$ 's is purely imaginary.

So by the EI,  $e \in \mathbb{U}^{eq}$  is purely imaginary over C iff it is interdefinable with a finite tuple from  $\bigcup_n S_n(\mathbb{U}) \cup \bigcup_n T_n(\mathbb{U}) \cup (\operatorname{acl}(C) \cap K)$ .

*Proof.* Let T be a completion of ACVF.

It suffices to find an uncountable model of T in which each  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  is countable, since the image of a definable map to K with infinite image contains a ball and so has the same cardinality as K.

Thanks to Martin Hils for providing the following example.

Let  $L \vDash T$  be countable with  $\Gamma(L) = \mathbb{Q}$ . (We can take L to be an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , or  $\mathbb{Q}$  with the *p*-adic valuation.) Consider L as a normed field (with  $||x|| := 2^{-v(x)}$ ).

Let  $\bar{L}$  be the completion of L. Fact:  $\bar{L} \models \text{ACVF}$ . We have  $|\bar{L}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , but  $S_n(\bar{L}) = \text{GL}_n(\bar{L})/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(\bar{L})) \leftarrow \text{GL}_n(L)/\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(L)) = S_n(L)$  is a bijection since  $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(\bar{L}))$  is an open neighbourhood of the identity and  $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(\bar{L})) \cap \text{GL}_n(L) = \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}(L))$ . Similarly  $k(\bar{L}) = \mathcal{O}(\bar{L})/\mathfrak{m}(\bar{L}) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}(L)/\mathfrak{m}(L) = k(L)$  is a bijection.

So  $|S_n(\bar{L})| = \aleph_0 = |T_n(\bar{L})|.$