## Covers

#### David Evans, School of Mathematics, UEA, Norwich.

#### LMH, Oxford, March 2010.

Zilber meeting ()

March 2010 1 / 16

# 1. Uncountably categorical theories

Work in a saturated model of an uncountably categorical theory (countable language, infinite models).

## Zilber's Ladder Theorem (1977)

Let  $M_0$ , M be  $\emptyset$ -definable sets and  $M_0$  strongly minimal. Then there exists a finite sequence of  $\emptyset$ -definable sets  $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_k$  ending in M such that for each i (with  $0 \le i < k$ ) and each  $M_i$ -atom  $A \subseteq M_{i+1}$ , the group  $\operatorname{Gal}(A/M_i)$  of  $M_i$ -elementary automorphisms of A is definable, together with its action on A, and  $\operatorname{Gal}(A/M_i) \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(M_i)$ .

Remarks:

- Taken from Boris' 1993 AMS Monograph.
- *M<sub>i</sub>*-atom: *M<sub>i</sub>*-definable set not properly containing another non-empty *M<sub>i</sub>*-definable set.
- $M_{i+1}$  is covered by these.
- The M<sub>i</sub> are in eq.

NOTES:

- (0) Any uncountably categorical structure has a strongly minimal parameter-definable subset.
- (1) Definable groups emerge from a purely model-theoretic hypothesis: the binding group construction.
- (2) Write  $A = A(\bar{a})$  and  $Gal(A/M_i) = G(\bar{a})$  where  $\bar{a}$  varies in some  $\emptyset$ -definable subset  $D_i$  of  $M_i$ .
- (3)  $G(\bar{a})$  is transitive on  $A(\bar{a})$  and the stabilizer in  $G(\bar{a})$  of some finite set of points in  $A(\bar{a})$  is the identity.
- (4) Aut $(M_{i+1}/M_i)$  embeds into  $\prod_{\bar{a}\in D_i} G(\bar{a})$ .
- (5)  $M_{i+1}$  is an *affine cover* of  $M_i$ ; in case  $A(\bar{a})$  and  $G(\bar{a})$  are finite, it is a *finite cover*.

# The totally categorical case.

### Zilber, early 1980's

In the case where the theory is totally categorical, there is a  $\emptyset$ -definable strongly minimal set, which can be taken to be a pure set, or a projective space arising from a vector space of infinite dimension over a finite field.

#### Remarks:

- Only definable groups here are abelian (-by-finite).
- Alternative proof using C of FSG's: Cherlin-Harrington-Lachlan, Mills, ...
- Gives a strong structure theory for totally categorical structures. For example, they are not finitely axiomatizable (Zilber), but are quasi-finite axiomatizability (Ahlbrandt-Ziegler; Cherlin; Hrushovski).

# 2. Finite Covers

Suppose *L* is a first-order language and *L'* extends *L* by, amongst other things, a single extra sort *C*. Suppose T' is a complete *L'*-theory and *T* is the restriction of this to the *L*-sorts.

Say that *T* is *fully embedded* in *T'* if, whenever *M'* is a model of *T'* with *L*-part *M*:

- the  $\emptyset$ -definable subsets of  $M^n$  are the same in the L and L'-senses
- the L'-definable subsets of M<sup>n</sup> (parameters from M') are the L-definable subsets of M<sup>n</sup> (parameters from M).

Suppose *D* is a  $\emptyset$ -definable subset in  $T^{eq}$  we say that T' is a finite cover of *T* (over *D*) if *T* is fully embedded in *T'* and there is a  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $\pi : C \to D$  with finite fibres. NOTE:

- By full embeddedness, if *M*′ is saturated then the restriction map Aut(*M*′) → Aut(*M*) is surjective.
- The kernel of this is  $\operatorname{Aut}(M'/M) \leq \prod_{d \in D} \operatorname{Aut}(\pi^{-1}(d)/M)$

#### **Basic Problem**

Given T and D, say something meaningful about the finite covers T' over D.

Even with T strongly minimal, this is a hard problem.

#### Sub-problem

Describe the maximal covering expansions T'.

Meaning: T is not fully embedded in any proper expansion of T'.

REMARKS:

- If T'' is an expansion of T' in which T is fully embedded, then Aut(M') = Aut(M'/M)Aut(M'').
- If  $\operatorname{Aut}(M''/M) = 1$  here then say that T' splits over T.

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

## 3. An Example

Take:

- *M* a pure set;  $n \ge 2$
- D ⊆ M<sup>eq</sup>: n-tuples of distinct elements of M modulo the equivalence relation x̄ ~ ȳ iff x̄ is an even permutation of ȳ.
- For w ∈ [M]<sup>n</sup> denote the two elements of D corresponding to enumerations of w as w<sup>+</sup> and w<sup>-</sup>.

Define a finite cover  $M_n$  of M over D by adding:

- An extra sort  $C = \{w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3 : w \in [M]^n\}$
- A projection map  $\pi : C \to D$  with  $\pi(w_0) = \pi(w_2) = w^+$  and  $\pi(w_1) = \pi(w_3) = w^-$ .
- A 2-ary relation R on C with  $R(w_i, w_{i+1}) \pmod{4}$  (for  $w \in [M]^n$ ) So  $M_n$  is obtained by freely adjoining a copy of a finite structure  $\{w, w^+, w^-, w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  over each  $\{w, w^+, w^-\}$  in M.

OBSERVATIONS:

- Aut $(M_n/M) = \prod_{w \in [M]^n} Z_2 = Z_2^{[M]^n}$
- $M_n$  is non-split over M: Suppose  $Aut(M_n) = Aut(M_n/M)Aut(M'')$ . Then for  $w \in [M]^n$  we have:
  - Aut $(M_n/w)$  is transitive on  $\{w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$  and
  - Aut $(M_n/M)$  stabilizes  $\{w_0, w_2\}$  and  $\{w_1, w_3\}$ , so
  - Aut(M''/w) induces  $Z_4$  on  $\{w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ . In particular,
  - $\operatorname{Aut}(M'') \to \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  is not an isomorphism, because
  - $\operatorname{Aut}(M/w) = \operatorname{Sym}(w) \times \operatorname{Sym}(M \setminus w).$

A (10) A (10)

### Theorem (DE + Elisabetta Pastori, 2009)

- *M*<sub>2</sub> has no proper covering expansion.
- The covering expansions of M<sub>n</sub> over M (for n ≥ 3) can be described. In particular, there is a unique maximal covering expansion (up to interdefinability over M).

The proof is heavily group-theoretic.

A (1) > A (2) > A

# 4. Group-theoretic methods

REMINDER: Any permutation group can be considered as a topological group in which pointwise stabilizers of finite sets form a base of open neighbourhoods of the identity. A subgroup of the group of all permutations of a set is closed iff it is the automorphism group of some structure on the set.

THE AHLBRANDT-ZIEGLER APPROACH (EARLY 1990'S):

• Treat the problem of determining the (finite) covers *M*' of *M* as a question about topological group extensions:

 $1 \to \operatorname{Aut}(M'/M) \to \operatorname{Aut}(M') \xrightarrow{\rho} \operatorname{Aut}(M) \to 1.$ 

- If K<sub>0</sub> = Aut(M'/M) is abelian, conjugation in Aut(M') makes it a continuous (profinite) G-module, where G = Aut(M).
- Use tools from representation theory and group cohomology to study this.
- If *M*' splits over *M*, then H<sup>1</sup><sub>c</sub>(G, K<sub>0</sub>/K) classifies covering expansions *M*'' of *M*' with Aut(*M*''/*M*) = K.

# Higher cohomology groups (DE + Paul Hewitt, 2006)

- For profinite G-modules K, there is a reasonably nice technology of higher cohomology groups H<sup>n</sup><sub>c</sub>(G, K) (obey a long exact sequence, Shapiro's Lemma ...).
- *H*<sup>2</sup><sub>c</sub>(*G*, *K*) parametrizes extensions 1 → *K* → Γ<sub>1</sub> → *G* → 1 arising from permutation groups (and is trivial iff these all split).

### Theorem (DE + P Hewitt, 2006)

Suppose M is saturated and there is a (global) type p definable over  $\emptyset$  with the property that for every finite p-Morley sequence  $\bar{a}$  and finite tuple c in M, there is a finite p-Morley sequence extending  $\bar{a}$  with c in its definable closure. Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(M)$  and let A be a finite abelian group, considered as a trivial G-module. Then for  $n \ge 1$ 

$$H_c^n(G,A)=\{0\}.$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Back to the example

NOTATION: Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(M) = \operatorname{Sym}(M)$  and  $\Gamma_n = \operatorname{Aut}(M_n)$  and  $K_n = \operatorname{Aut}(M_n/M) = Z_2^{[M]^n}$  (write additively). So  $K_n$  is a continuous *G*-module and we have a non-split ses:

$$0 \to K_n \to \Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\rho} G \to 1. \tag{1}$$

We are interested in closed subgroups  $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_n$  with  $\rho(\Gamma) = G$ . These are automorphism groups of covering expansions of  $M_n$ : call them full subgroups of  $\Gamma_n$ . BASIC METHOD:

A. Work out the closed G-submodules K of  $K_n$ 

B. For  $K \leq K_n$ , decide whether there is a full  $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_n$  with  $\Gamma \cap K_n = K$ .

For (B), if there is such a  $\Gamma$  then the (non-zero) cohomology class corresponding to the extension (1) is in the image of  $H^2_c(G, K) \to H^2_c(G, K_n)$ . So if  $H^2_c(G, K) = 0$ , this cannot happen.

## **Details**

For  $0 \le \ell \le n$  there exists a continuous *G*-homomorphism  $\alpha_{\ell,k} : K_{\ell} \to K_n$  given by, for  $w \in [M]^n$  and  $f \in Z_2^{[M]^{\ell}}$ :

$$\alpha_{\ell,n}(f)(w) = \sum_{v \in [w]^{\ell}} f(v).$$

Moreover, any closed *G*-submodule *K* of  $K_n$  is a sum of submodules  $im(\alpha_{\ell,n})$ . (D Gray, 1997)

### Theorem

- 1. If  $K < K_2$  then  $H^2_c(G, K) = 0$ .
- 2. For  $2 \le n$  there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\gamma_{2,n} : \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma_n$  which extends  $\alpha_{2,n}$  (and commutes with the  $\rho$ -maps).
- 3. Any full subgroup of  $\Gamma_n$  contains a  $\Gamma_n$ -conjugate of the image of  $\gamma_{2,n}$ .

The proof of (3) also uses computation of  $H^1_c(G, K_n/K)$  for various  $K \le K_n$  (E. + Gray, 1998).

Zilber meeting ()

# 5. Coda

Summary:

- Zilber's Ladder Theorem...
- ... as motivation for studying finite covers
- Group-theoretic methods for analysing these...
- ... most useful in  $\omega$ -categorical case.

The group-theoretic methods give a reasonably nice general theory for finite covers (M', M) with Aut(M'/M) finite. In this case, M' is internal to M.

Hrushovski (2006): General description of internality in terms of definable groupoid actions.

DEFINITION: Suppose *L* is a first-order language and *L'* extends *L* by, amongst other things, a single extra sort *C*. Suppose *T'* is a complete *L'*-theory and *T* is the restriction of this to the *L*-sorts and *T* is fully embedded in *T'*. Suppose that for every  $M' \models T'$  with *T*-part *M*, there is a finite tuple *c* in *M'* with  $M' \subseteq dcl(M, c)$ . Then we say that *T'* is an internal cover of *T*.

EXAMPLE: M' is a finite cover of M and Aut(M'/M) is finite.

### Theorem (Ehud Hrushovski, 2006)

There is a correspondence between:

- internal covers of T, and
- connected Ø-definable concrete groupoids in T.

The groupoid  $\mathcal{G} = (Ob\mathcal{G}, Mor\mathcal{G})$  corresponding to an internal cover (M', M) has  $\operatorname{Aut}(M'/M)$  isomorphic to  $Mor\mathcal{G}(a, a)$  (for all  $a \in Ob\mathcal{G}$ ).

Note that the correspondence applies to finite covers (M', M) with Aut(M'/M) finite. The group-theoretic machinery works best in this case.

QUESTIONS:

- Do other finite covers of *M* correspond to some sort of definable object in *M*?
- Can what is being done by use of group cohomology of automorphism groups be replaced by arguments involving definable sets in the structure?

A (10) A (10)