Selected topics in representation theory – Resolutions of simple modules over the polynomial ring  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  – WS 2005/06

1 The exterior algebra

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring,  $E \in \text{mod } R$  a finitely generated R-module. Set  $T^r(E) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r E, T^0 = R$ . Then

$$T(E) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} T^r(E)$$

is called the *tensor algebra* of E.<sup>1</sup>

Denote by  $\mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq T^r(E)$  the ideal generated by  $\{x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_r \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$ . Set  $\bigwedge^r(E) = T^r(E)/\mathfrak{a}_r$ . Then

$$\bigwedge(E) = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \bigwedge^{r}(E)$$

is called the *exterior algebra* of E.

The image of an element  $x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_r \in T^r(E)$  under the projection to  $\bigwedge^r(E)$  is denoted by  $x_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_r$ .

**Remark.** Let *E* be a free *R*-module of dimension *n* over *R*. Then  $\bigwedge(E)$  can be described as a quotient of the free algebra in *n* variables:

$$\bigwedge(E) = R\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle / (X_i^2, X_i X_j + X_j X_i).$$

- If r > n, then  $\bigwedge^r (E) = 0$ .
- Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  be a basis of E over R. For  $1 \le r \le n$ ,  $\bigwedge^r(E)$  is free over R, the elements  $v_{i_1} \land \ldots \land v_{i_r}, i_1 < \ldots < i_r$ , form a basis of  $\bigwedge^r(E)$  over R, and  $\dim_R \bigwedge^r(E) = \binom{n}{r}$ .

## 2 Tensor products of complexes

Let  $K^{\bullet}$  and  $L^{\bullet}$  be two complexes of *R*-modules, *R* a commutative ring, such that  $K_m = 0$ ,  $L_m = 0$  for all m < 0, i.e.  $K^{\bullet} : \cdots \to K_n \to K_{n-1} \to \cdots \to K_0 \to 0 \to \cdots$ , and similarly for  $L^{\bullet}$ .

We define a new complex  $K^{\bullet} \otimes L^{\bullet}$  as follows: The module in degree n is

$$(K^{\bullet} \otimes L^{\bullet})_n = \sum_{p+q=n} K_p \otimes L_q,$$

and the differentials are defined as

$$d(u \otimes v) = du \otimes v + (-1)^p u \otimes dv.$$

One can check that this gives really a complex.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Tensors products are always taken over the ring R.

## 3 The Koszul complex

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring,  $M \in \text{mod } R$ . A sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  in R is called *M*-regular if

- $x_1$  is not a zero divisor for M, and
- $x_i$  is not a zero divisor for  $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M$  for all  $2 \le i \le r$ .

(Here,  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M$  denotes the ideal in M generated by  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}\}$ .)

It is called *regular* if it is an *R*-regular sequence.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  be a regular sequence in R. We define the Koszul complex  $K(\mathbf{x})$  for R by

- $K_0(\mathbf{x}) = R$
- $K_1(\mathbf{x})$  = the free *R*-module with basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$
- $K_p(\mathbf{x})$  = the free *R*-module with basis  $\{e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_p} \mid i_1 < \ldots < i_p\}$
- $K_r(\mathbf{x})$  = the free *R*-module with basis  $\{e_1 \land \ldots \land e_r\}$

(Note that  $e_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_p}$  is just a notion for the basis element and has (so far) nothing to do with the exterior algebra.)

The boundary maps are defined as follows —and this is where the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  has an influence...—:

•  $d: K_1(\mathbf{x}) \to K_0(\mathbf{x}), d(e_i) = x_i \ \forall i = 1, \dots, r$ 

• 
$$d: K_p(\mathbf{x}) \to K_{p-1}(\mathbf{x}), d(e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_p}) = \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j-1} x_{i_j} e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land \widehat{e_{i_j}} \land \ldots \land e_{i_p}$$

This defines really a complex.

**Definition.** We define the *Koszul complex* for  $M \in \text{mod } R$  (w.r.t. the regular sequence  $\mathbf{x}$ ) by tensoring the complex for R with M:  $K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M$ .

In order to calculate the Koszul complex for a regular sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ , we can calculate the Koszul complexes for non zero divisors in R, and we obtain the Koszul complex also inductively, since there is a natural isomorphism

$$K(\mathbf{x}) \cong K(x_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes K(x_r).$$

Proof. Homework.

**Notation.** For  $M \in \text{mod } R$  and a regular sequence **x** we denote the homology groups of the Koszul complex for M by

$$H_p(\mathbf{x}, M) = H_p(K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M).$$

Selected topics in RT – Resolutions of simple modules over  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  – WS 2005/06 – 3

The following construction is very useful. Let  $C^{\bullet}$  be a complex of *R*-modules and  $x \in R$  a non zero divisor. We have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to R \to K(x) \to R[-1] \to 0. \tag{1}$$

(Here, R denotes the stalk complex concentrated in degree zero with entry R, and R[-1] the stalk complex concentrated in degree -1 with entry R.)

By construction, the complex K(x) is concentrated in degrees 1 and 0:

$$K(x):\ldots \to 0 \to \underbrace{K_1(x)}_{\cong R} \xrightarrow{x} \underbrace{K_0(x)}_{\cong R} \to 0 \to \ldots,$$

where x denotes the multiplication by x.

We apply  $-\otimes C^{\bullet}$  to the sequence (1), which gives us

$$(K(x) \otimes C^{\bullet})_p = (K_0(x) \otimes C_p) \oplus (K_1(x) \otimes C_{p-1}) \cong C_p \oplus C_{p-1}.$$

The boundary maps are, by definition, given by

$$d(v, w) = (dv + (-1)^{p-1}xw, dw)$$

for  $(v, w) \in C_p \oplus C_{p-1}$ .

Now take its homology. This leads to the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_{p+1}(C^{\bullet}[-1])}_{\cong H_p(C^{\bullet})}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_p} H_p(C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_p(K(x) \otimes C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_p(C^{\bullet}[-1])}_{\cong H_{p-1}(C^{\bullet})}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_{p-1}} H_{p-1}(C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_{p-1}(K(x) \otimes C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_{p-1}(C^{\bullet}[-1])}_{\cong H_{p-2}(C^{\bullet})}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_1} H_1(C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow H_1(K(x) \otimes C^{\bullet}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_1(C^{\bullet}[-1])}_{\cong H_0(C^{\bullet})}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\delta_0} H_0(C^{\bullet}),$$

where each  $\delta_p$  is induced by the multiplication by  $(-1)^{p-1}x$ .

**Lemma.** If  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  is an *M*-regular sequence in *R* for  $M \in \text{mod } R$ , then  $H_p(\mathbf{x}, M) = 0$  for all  $p \neq 0$ , and  $H_0(\mathbf{x}, M) = M/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$ .

*Proof.* If r = 1, then we can choose in the above construction  $C^{\bullet} = M$ , the stalk complex with M concentrated in degree 0. Then  $H_p(C^{\bullet}) = 0$  for all  $p \neq 0$  and  $H_0(C^{\bullet}) = M$ .

The complex K(x) is given by  $0 \to R \xrightarrow{x} R \to 0$ , and  $K(x) \otimes M$  is  $0 \to M \xrightarrow{x} M \to 0$ .

So all  $H_p(K(x) \otimes M) = 0$  for all  $p \ge 2$  (by the long exact homology sequence above). Since x is a non zero divisor on M, the multiplication by x is injective. Furthermore,  $H_1(C^{\bullet}) = 0$ , so  $H_1(K(x) \otimes M) = 0$ . And  $H_0(K(x) \otimes M) = M/(x)M$ .

Let now  $r \geq 2$ .

Denote by **y** the *M*-regular sequence  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})$ , and let  $C^{\bullet} = K(\mathbf{y}) \otimes M$ . As stated above, we have an isomorphism  $K(\mathbf{x}) \cong K(x_r) \otimes K(\mathbf{y})$ .

By induction,  $H_p(K(\mathbf{y}) \otimes M) = 0$  for all  $p \neq 0$ . Therefore, all  $H_p(K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M) \cong H_p(K(x_r) \otimes C^{\bullet}) = 0$  for all  $p \geq 2$  (by the long exact homology sequence above). And  $x_r$  is a non zero divisor on  $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1})M \cong H_0(C^{\bullet})$ , therefore, the multiplication by  $x_r$  is injective. Furthermore,  $H_1(C^{\bullet}) = 0$ , and hence  $H_1(K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M) \cong H_1(K(x_r) \otimes C^{\bullet}) = 0$ . By definition,  $H_0(K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M) \cong H_0(K(x_r) \otimes C^{\bullet}) = M/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$ .

**Corollary.** If  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)$  is an *M*-regular sequence in *R* for  $M \in \text{mod } R$ , then  $K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes M$  is a free resolution of  $M/(x_1, \ldots, x_r)M$ , i.e. the Koszul complex is exact.

Now apply the construction to  $M = R = k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ , with regular sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (X_1 - a_1, \ldots, X_n - a_n)$ . We have  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(X_1 - a_1, \ldots, X_n - a_n)k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \cong k_{a_1, \ldots, a_n}$ , the simple module for  $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  defined by  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## References

- S. Lang, Algebra. Revised third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 211. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. xvi+914 pp.
- [2] D. G. Northcott, Lessons on rings, modules and multiplicities. Cambridge University Press, London, 1968. xiv+444 pp.
- [3] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450 pp.